

**PAGES MISSING
WITHIN THE BOOK
ONLY**

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OU_166932

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No.

759.954/6871

Accession No.

12835

Author

Brown, Percy.

Title

Indian Painting

This book should be returned ~~on~~ or before the date
as marked below.

THE HERITAGE OF INDIA SERIES

Planned by J. N. FARGHAR, M.A., D.Lit. (Oxon.),
D.D. (Aberdeen).

Joint Editors { The Right Reverend V. S. AZARISH, LL.D.
(Camb.), Bishop of Dorchester.
E. C. DEWICK, M.A., (Camb.).
J. N. C. GANDOLY, M.A., Darshan Śastra.

Already published.

- The Heart of Buddhism. K. J. SAUNDERS, M.A., D.Lit. (Camb.)
Kannara Literature, 2nd ed. E. P. RICE, M.A.
The Śāikhya System, 2nd ed. A. BHAKTAVATSALA KRISHN, D.C.L.,
D.Lit. (Oxon.)
Poems of Marāṭha Saints. NIGEL MACNICOLL, M.A., D.Lit.
A History of Hindi Literature. P. F. ZWEE, M.A., D.Lit.
The Kāvya-Mīmāṃsā. A. BHAKTAVATSALA KRISHN, D.C.L., D.Lit.
(Oxon.)
Hymns of the Tamil Śaivite Saints. F. ZIMMERHOFF, B.A. and
G. D. PRINCE, M.A.
Kāhāṇḍīya Itihasa. EDWARD THOMPSON, M.A. (Oxon.) 2nd Ed.
Hymns from the Rgveda. A. A. MACDONALD, M.A., Phil.
Hon. LL.D.
Goswami Hymns. K. J. SAUNDERS, M.A., D.Lit. (Camb.)
The Coins of India. C. J. BROWN, M.A.
Poems by Indian Women. MRS. MACNICOLL.
Aṅguli Religious Lyrics. Śāktī. EDWARD THOMPSON, M.A.
(Oxon.) Ph.D., and A. M. BERNIER.
Classical Sanskrit Literature, 2nd ed. A. HERZFELD KRISHN,
D.C.L., D.Lit. (Oxon.)
Amka, 2nd ed. By JAMES M. MACPHER, M.A., M.D.

Subjects proposed and volumes under preparation.

HISTORY AND THE HERITAGE.

The Early Period.

The Gupta Period.

The Mogul Period. DR. S. K. DATTA, Calcutta

THE PHILOSOPHERS.

- An Introduction to Hindu Philosophy. J. N. FARGURAN and
Principal JOHN MEKESOFF, Bombay.
The Philosophy of the Upanishads.
Sankara's Vedanta.
The System of the Foli Bookless Books. Prof. V. LESNY, Prague.

FINE ART AND MUSIC.

- Indian Architecture.
Indian Sculpture.
The Minor Arts. Principal PENEW BROWN, Calcutta.

BIOGRAPHIES OF EMINENT INDIANS.

- Karnanjo.
Akbar

VERNACULAR LITERATURE.

- The Kural. H. A. POOLEY, B.A., Madras, and K. T. PAUL,
B.A., Salem.
Hymns of the Aylars. J. S. M. DHOORE, M.A., Nagari
Told Dots's Kanyasulk in Marathi. G. J. DAVIS, M.A.
Kannara Hymns. Miss BURNER, B.A., Bangalore.

HISTORIES OF VERNACULAR LITERATURE.

- Bengali. C. S. PARSONS, M.A., Calcutta.
Gujarati.
Marathi. NITOR MACNEIL, M.A., D.Litt., Poona.
Tamil.
Telugu. P. CHRISTIAN, M.L., Madras, and RAJA BHUJANGA
RAY, Kharai.
Malayalam. T. K. JENSEN, B.A., L.T., Trivandrum.
Urdu.
Burmese. Prof. TUNG PU, Rangoon.

VARDH'S.

- Indian Temple Legend. K. T. PAUL, B.A., Salem.
Indian Astronomy and Chronology.
The Languages of India. Prof. K. L. TRINER, London.
The Indian Drama. Prof. M. WINTERKOPF, Prague.
Practical Literature. Principal A. C. WOODS, Lahore.

EDITORIAL PREFACE

Equally, brethren, whatsoever things are true, whatsoever things are honestable, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good report; if there be any glory, and if there be any praise: think on these things.

No section of the population of India can afford to neglect her ancient heritage. The treasures of knowledge, wisdom, and beauty which are contained in her literature, philosophy, art, and regulated life are too precious to be lost. Every citizen of India needs to use them, if he is to be a cultured modern Indian. This is as true of the Christian, the Muslim, the Zoroastrian as of the Hindu. But, while the heritage of India has been largely explored by scholars, and the results of their toil are laid out for us in books, they cannot be said to be really available for the ordinary man. The volumes are in most cases expensive, and are often technical and difficult. Hence this series of cheap books has been planned by a group of Christian men, in order that every educated Indian, whether rich or poor, may be able to find his way into the treasures of India's past. Many Europeans, both in India and elsewhere, will doubtless be glad to use the series.

The utmost care is being taken by the General Editors in selecting writers, and in passing manuscripts for the press. In every book two tests are rigidly applied: everything must be scholarly, and everything must be sympathetic. The purpose is to bring the best out of the ancient treasures, so that it may be known, enjoyed, and used,

THE HERITAGE OF INDIA
INDIAN PAINTING

By
PERCY BROWN

ASSOCIATE, ROYAL COLLEGE OF ART, INDIAN EDUCATIONAL SERVICE;
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SCHOOL OF ART, CALCUTTA; HONORARY IN-
CHARGE, ART SECTION, INDIAN MUSEUM, TRIPURA, INDIAN
MUSEUM, CALCUTTA.

ILLUSTRATED

SECOND EDITION

CALCUTTA: THE ASSOCIATION PRESS
(V.M.C.A.)

LONDON: OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
NEW YORK, TORONTO, MELBOURNE,
BOMBAY AND MADRAS

PRINTED AT THE WILKINSON STEAM PRESS,
MUSKOGEE CITY.— 1927

PREFACE TO SECOND EDITION

It is now some ten years since this book was first written and a second edition has been called for. During this period a considerable amount of fresh material has been collected, and the study of Indian Painting has made much progress. The information thus accumulated, while amplifying appreciably our general knowledge, has affected to no great extent the main outlines of the subject. As this little book, owing to its size, is limited to these outlines, it is again issued in its original form, with the exception of a few necessary alterations.

June, 1927.

P. B.

CONTENTS

PART I

History of Indian Painting

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	5
I. EARLY PERIOD	15
II. BUDDHIST PERIOD	25
III. MEDIEVAL PERIOD	42
IV. THE MUGHAL SCHOOL	47
V. THE RAJPUT SCHOOLS	54
VI. THE MODERN SCHOOLS	61

PART II

Description of Indian Painting

VII. THE BUDDHIST FRESCOS	71
VIII. THE MUGHAL MINIATURES	80
IX. PORTRAITURE UNDER THE MUGHALS	87
X. RAJPUT PAINTING	93
XI. MATERIALS AND TECHNIQUE	111
LIST OF INDIAN FIGURES	123
BIBLIOGRAPHY	124
COLLECTIONS OF INDIAN PICTURES	125
INDEX	127

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
1. Interior View of Cave-Temple at Ajanta, showing position of frescoes (7th century)	30
2. Adoration Group; Mother and Child before Buddha, Fresco from Ajanta, Cave 17 (6th century), from 'Ajanta Frescoes,' India Society	34
3. Fresco Painting from Sigiriya, Ceylon (5th century) ..	37
4. Fresco from Dandao Uili, Khotan (8th century), from 'Ancient Khotan,' by Stele	45
5. Mughal Picture, depicting the Emperor Jehangir on a Shikharig Expedition (17th century)	51
6. Incident in the Life of Krishna, Kangra <i>Rajm</i> (18th century)	58
7. 'Abhisarika,' by Abanindra Nath Tagore (Modern) ..	65
8. Fresco in Cave I, Ajanta, probably illustrating 'The Great Repudiation' (7th century)	73
9. Fresco in Cave 17, Ajanta illustrating the <i>Mahat Myansa Jataka</i> (6th century), from 'Ajanta Frescoes,' India Society	76
10. Fresco from Sigiriya, Ceylon (5th century)	78
11. Indo-Persian Picture (15th century)	81
12. Mughal Picture, representing the celebration at the birth of Shah Jehan (late 16th century)	85
13. Mughal Portrait, the Emperor Shah Jehan (1627 to 1658)	90
14. Scene by the Riverside, Kangra <i>Rajm</i> (18th century) ..	101
15. Dance of Shiva (<i>Sardaha Gayatri</i>), Kangra <i>Rajm</i> ..	107
16. Portrait Group, in the Kangra <i>Rajm</i> (18th century) ..	108
17. Portrait of Pritivi-Raja, in the <i>Jeypore Rajm</i> (18th century)	109

INTRODUCTION

LESS than thirty years ago the West had settled down to the comfortable feeling that there was no such art as painting in India. The few publications on Indian art previous to that time distinctly state that the country is deficient in pictorial art. The acceptance of this dictum simplified matters, and made what little study there was of this subject comparatively easy. It is true, a certain number of decorative enlivened miniatures had at different times been obtained from India, but in the museums of the West these were usually catalogued as Persian, and actually sometimes as Chinese. They were not regarded as examples of fine art, or even as pictures, but generally treated as ornamental book illustrations—in other words, interesting specimens of applied art.

The reason for this state of affairs is not far to seek. The West regarded art from only one point of view—the Western point of view. Unless a picture or piece of sculpture conformed to the academic canons of the West, unless the perspective of the one or the anatomy of the other was entirely in accordance with the Western textbooks on these two sciences, it was not a work of art but an Oriental 'curio.'

The acceptance of Japanese art as a form of aestheticism worthy of serious study, was the first step taken towards an appreciation of the artistic culture of the Orient. Before long it became evident that a broader view of the subject of art than that hitherto adopted was not only possible, but

necessary, in the light of the qualities that speedily became observable in the painting of the Far East. Soon academic rules were at a discount, and the more comprehensive basis from which Oriental art might be considered was an accomplished fact. The pictorial art of Japan having been recognised, it was not long before Indian painting came within the artistic horizon. The difficulty of the feeling that every picture, to be a picture, must be on canvas with a gilt frame, was also overcome, and the Buddhist frescoes and the Mughal miniatures were eventually regarded as representative and expressive of the fine art of India.

It has been said that in view of the gulf that divides the Western mind from that of the Oriental, it is a tribute to the deeply-seated nature of the aesthetic instinct, that these two differently-situated peoples have eventually met on one common plane, the plane of art. Nevertheless, even with this fact accepted, it does not follow that each looks on this subject from anything like the same mental standpoint. It is only necessary to state that while Hokusai astonished Europe (Millet and Whistler alike viewing him with admiration), in his own country of Japan he is but faintly praised. The question then arises as to which estimate is right. To indicate one of the difficulties in attempting to frame an answer, an example may be taken of the Indian picture connoisseur who will value a picture for the absorbing sentiment of its subject, overlooking its aesthetic character, which particular character the Occidental critic would unconditionally condemn. This no doubt strikes at the root of the whole matter, which is that, while the Oriental is a philosopher first and an artist afterwards, the Westerner is an artist first and a philosopher afterwards. But the sympathetic appreciation of Indian art, and especially of Indian painting, which has been slowly but

surely gaining ground during the last few years, has now reached a definite stage in that movement which East and West are making towards a mutual understanding of their ideals.

Fundamentally, as in almost every aspect of the two civilisations, no true comparisons can be instituted between the art of the Orient and that of the Occident. Each starts from a different origin, aims at a different object, and arrives at a different end. It is possible, however, to define certain qualities of dissimilarity in the two arts, and by means of these to realise some of the broad distinguishing features of Indian painting.

As the painting of the West is an art of 'mass,' so that of the East is an art of 'line.' The Western artist conceives his composition in contiguous planes of light and shade and colour. He obtains his effect by 'piling up surface,' by the blending of one form into another, so that definition gives place to suggestion. In Occidental painting there is an absence of definite circumscribing lines, any demarcation being felt rather than seen. On the other hand, much of the beauty of Oriental painting lies in the interpretation of form by means of a clear-cut definition, regular and decided; in other words, the Eastern painter expresses form through a convention—the convention of pure line—and in the manipulation and the quality of this line the Oriental artist is supreme. Western painting, like Western music, is communal, it is produced with the intention of giving pleasure to a number of people gathered together. Indian painting, with the important exception of the Buddhist frescoes, is individual—miniature painting that can only be enjoyed by one or two persons at a time. In its music, in its painting, and even in its religious ritual, India is largely individualistic.

Indian painting may be broadly resolved into the three great religious divisions — Buddhist, Hindu, and Muhammadan. The Hindu painting has come to be referred to as Rajput, on account of its association with Rajputana and the Hill Rajputs of the Punjab; while the Muhammadan art is referred to as Mughal, as it owed its existence to the encouragement it received from that dynasty. Buddhist and Rajput painting was symbolic in signifying the spiritual life of India: the dominant note of both was religion, and the chief feature was mysticism. As a contrast to this, Mughal painting was frankly secular, and in character realistic and eclectic.

The aim of the Buddhist artist was to visualise the ideals of his creed, to illustrate by pictorial parables all the beautiful sentiments of the Buddhist religion. These were designed to appeal to the higher feelings of the spectator, so that, sustained by their supreme charm, the littleness of his own personality vanishes, and he becomes exalted and absorbed. The Buddhist frescoes no doubt attained this object, and by their sheer artistry elevated the individual into the actual realms of the higher beings, thus bringing him to the feet of the Master himself.

Rajput painting, while aspiring towards the same high ideals, covered a larger field. Apart from its delineation of the great religious dramas of Hinduism, in its domestic character it reflected the beliefs and customs of the common people, thus producing an artistic folklore of unusual interest. Its chief aim, however, was to present the innumerable graphic aspects of their religion to the people in a portable and popular manner, literally, for household use. This resulted in a school of miniature painting, which is an outstanding feature of the pictorial art of India.

The painting of the Mughal school exhibits the same

technical traits as the Rajput art, but is distinguished by a widely different intention. It strives after no spiritual conceptions, but embodies a genuine statement of fact. Some of the illustrative work deals with the mythical, but the Mughal miniatures are, in the main, material. Religion played no part in the artistic productions of this school. It excelled in portraiture, and in this field it subconsciously went beyond the representation of superficial facts, often recording the innermost character of the sitter in a very natural manner.

Of the Indian painter, as an individual, little is known. The artists of other countries of the East appear as actual characters, their names and systems of working and living, their personal aspirations, their eccentricities and very failings, have been handed down to posterity. It is possible to live with them and share their joys and sorrows. As an example, the Japanese painter was above everything else a Bohemian, indifferent to the ordinary conventions of society, his existence depending entirely on the course of his art. But the story of the Indian artist, if such the meagre records can be called, presents nothing tangible. The painter, whether Buddhist, Rajput, or Mughal, walks through the pages of history a somewhat elusive being. Only his pictures remain to prove that he was a man of no little character, and absorbed in his work. In these productions a certain personality is discernible, but of an abstract nature, difficult to focus as an actual individual in relation to his art.

There is sufficient evidence, however, to enable us to visualise the early Buddhist painter as an artist-priest, learned in his religion as he was in his art. His system of work was probably that which prevails in Buddhist Tibet at the present time. When it has been decided that a

certain building is to be decorated, or a piece of sculpture executed, artists are sent for from the leading religious institution, and these are retained in the monastery as part of the sacerdotal establishment until the commission is completed. For the time being they become members of the local brotherhood, and are lodged and fed as part of the priestly staff. The sculptor belonged to the same group as the painter, often one individual being master of both crafts. When the work was finished, these artists either returned to the central monastic institution, or travelled to another religious edifice which required their artistic services. Living in this way on the spot, and forming for the time a part of the community personally concerned in the building being decorated, their interest would be a real one, and their work would accordingly represent a genuine feeling of reverence for the edifice with which they were so intimately associated.

On the other hand, the Rajput painter was one of the people, a member of that guild of craftsmen which formed an essential portion of the Indian communal fabric since Buddhist times. With the metal-worker, the stone-carver, and the weaver, he was one of the village system, in ordinary life the decorator of their homes, or the embellisher of the palace of the local prince. When not employed in these capacities he was preparing pictures of religious subjects, so characteristic of the later Rajput schools. A simple and unornamented craftsman, he is best described by applying the words of Vasari with regard to Andrea del Castagno's first instructor: 'One of those country painters who work at a small price, who was painting the tabernacle of a peasant, a matter naturally of no great moment.'

The Mughal painter, living in a different atmosphere, was another type. He formed one of the retinue of the

court, and in a sense was a courtier. In the direct employ of a king or noble, he carried on his work according to the commands of his patron. He was probably not a paid servant, but on the production of a good piece of painting he was given a substantial present.

Indian painting is largely an anonymous art. This specially applies to the Buddhist and Rajput work, while only a certain number of the Mughal pictures bear any signature. A few names of artists have been handed down, but, except for the brief records of the Mughal painters in the *Ain-i-Akbari*, there are few details available concerning these craftsmen. In view of the position that women have occupied in India generally, it is a notable fact that the first Indian painter mentioned by name was a woman. Chitralekha, a word which literally means a picture, was the heroine of an incident in the *Draupya Lila*, a work of the Epic Age, and probably dating from many centuries before the Christian era. This artist had a genius for portraiture, and on this gift the point of the story, which is related elsewhere, depends. After this no painter is mentioned by name, until Tura Nahi, a seventeenth century historian, refers to a small group of artists mainly associated with the work of the Hinduist school. The principal information gathered from this writer is that these individuals were versatile workmen, equally good in both sculpture and painting. Chinese records mention several early Buddhist artists by name, who had emigrated from India to the Far East, with accounts of their work, but from these it is evident that they had become absorbed in the country of their exile, and can hardly be regarded as belonging to the sphere of Indian painting. Of the Rajput artists, except a few comparatively modern families in the Panjab Hill States, no names have been handed down; but the *Ain-i-*

Akbari produces a series of cameo-like descriptions of the Mughal painter, which throw some light on this craftsman as an individual. One interesting fact becomes evident in studying these brief accounts of the painters of Akbar's time, and that is that art rises superior to caste. Several of the most prominent Hindu artists retained at the Mughal court were drawn from lowly sources, the famous Daswanth, and two painters of the name of Kesu, all belonging to the *Asfar*, or palanquin-bearer caste.

Indian painting is classified by Indian connoisseurs, partly geographically, but mainly by the terms of its technique. Each school or local development is identified by its *kalms*, a word translated literally as 'pen,' but meaning 'brush.' The different styles of painting are therefore referred to as of the Delhi, Deccani, or Kangra *kalms*, etc., according to the character of the brushwork. Only an expert or hereditary painter can be sure of the distinctions between pictures of different *kalms*, as some of these are very fine, but it is not difficult to define a broad classification of the more important styles. In this connexion the classical frescos of the Buddhist are not included, the system of *kalms* being used only with regard to the miniature painting of the Rajputs and Mughals. Rajput painting is divided into two main *kalms*, the Jeypore and the Kangra; Mughal painting has many *kalms*, as this art with local variations was practised in many centres. In this way we have the Delhi, Lucknow, Deccani, Irani, Kashmiri, Patna, as well as a Mughal type of the Jeypore *kalms*. These different styles of miniature painting are described under their various heads.

The first part of this book deals with a survey of the history of Indian painting, divided into its main periods or schools. The second portion is devoted to a description of the principal developments of the art, as these arose out of this history.

PART I
HISTORY OF INDIAN PAINTING

THE EARLY PERIOD

PREHISTORIC, VEDIC, AND PRIMITIVE BUDDHIST RECORDS, UP TO THE BEGINNING OF THE CHRISTIAN ERA

The evidences of prehistoric painting in India are scanty, but the few remains that have been discovered are naturally very interesting. There are primitive records of hunting scenes crudely drawn on the walls of a group of caves in the Kanmar Range of Central India, while examples of painting of the later Stone Age have been found in excavations in the Vindhya Hills. Near the latter were also gathered rubbed specimens of 'red ochre' (hæmatite), together with palettes for grinding down this pigment, in fact several indications of the existence in this locality of a neolithic art studio.

One record of what may prove to be an authentic example of prehistoric man's artistic activities in India was recently found in a range of hills immediately east of the Mand river, near the village of Singhapur, in Raigrah State, Central Provinces. On the sandstone rock at the mouth of a series of caves in these hills are a number of rude drawings, in a red pigment, which may be of very remote antiquity. These drawings depict human beings and animals, and are accompanied by what appear to be hieroglyphics. Some of the animals are characteristically drawn, such as a stag, an elephant, and a hare, while the

action of the figures has considerable spirit. A hunting scene, where a number of people are endeavouring to secure a huge bison, is graphically portrayed, several of the company having been tossed and gored in their efforts to round up the animal. A similar incident on the same wall evidently depicts a buffalo badly wounded with spears, and staggering in its death agony, surrounded by the exultant hunters. Stone implements have been found in the deposits at the foot of these rocks, which may be an important indication as to the age of these petroglyphs. Although many of these drawings are now unintelligible, enough of them have been identified to show that this primitive artist had a natural gift for artistic expression, as proved by the facile manner in which he interpreted his ideas by means of these effective hematite brush-forms.

Other localities in which ancient and archaic paintings have been discovered are in the Mirzapur district of the United Provinces, where there are a number of caves bearing traces of hematite drawings of a highly interesting nature. As usual, hunting scenes are the principal subjects, and we find the chase of wild animals, such as the rhinoceros and the sambar stag, most realistically rendered. All these drawings bear a remarkable resemblance to the famous rock-shelter paintings of Cogul in Spain, which are presumed to be the work of Aurignacian man of many thousands of years ago. An exploration of the Raigarh and Mirzapur caves might reveal clues not only of the birth of painting in India, but also throw considerable light on the early history of mankind in the East generally.

It may be observed, however, that Paleolithic Art is mainly a phenomenon, remote and isolated, and this specially applies to Indian painting. There is a hiatus of probably thousands of years between these apparently dateless

specimens of the early culture of India and the first actual historic record of the art. What may be considered the most ancient concrete example of dateable painting is to be found on the walls of the Jogimara cave of the Ramgarh Hill in Sirgaja, a small and remotely situated State in the Central Provinces. These frescos are presumed to have been executed about the first century before the Christian era.

At first sight they present a somewhat incoherent collection of brush-forms in red and black paint, daubed on the roughly prepared surface of the rock by a very uncultured hand. This unattractive effect proves on closer inspection to have been brought about by a subsequent clumsy restoration of the original work, a crude but well-intentioned effort, which has almost succeeded in obliterating the old design. The scheme includes a series of concentric panels depicting a variety of subjects—architecture, animals and figures—which, although much defaced, are similar in style to the plastic art of the same period. Borders with repeating patterns of fishes, *makara*, and other aquatic monsters enclose these panels, but the story which these paintings appear to illustrate has not as yet been identified.

That other rock-cut halls and chambers were originally also adorned with frescos is more than likely, but the devastating influence of the Indian climate has been responsible for the destruction of these mural paintings. In the same way the structural edifices of this period, built undoubtedly of timber and unbaked brick, have likewise disappeared. The surfaces of these buildings were believed to have been finished by means of a roughly-prepared plaster ground, and, as will be shown, were in some cases decorated with paintings. But this early form of architecture had not the quality of durability, and no

example of these buildings: bearing the painting of the period has been discovered up to the present time.

The foregoing description of these early brush-forms, for they are little more, conveys the impression that their general character, except for the one special quality already referred to, is distinctly primitive, and that the art was at this period, judging from these crude efforts, in a very undeveloped state. This is the natural deduction derived from an inspection of the only surviving specimen of painting known in India before the Christian era. On the other hand, however, apart from this somewhat unconvincing example of the Jogimara cave, we are confronted with considerable documentary evidence, which seems to indicate that, for some centuries previous to this, painting in India was a comparatively advanced form of æsthetic expression. This particular evidence, comprising early and authentic literary references dealing with various aspects of painting as it existed before the spread of the Buddhist religion, may be examined. In undertaking this it will be found that the primitive character of the fresco at Ramgarh Hill is not consistent with the general testimony presented by the written records, as these clearly indicate an art of a much more refined type than that illustrated by this solitary example.

To reconcile these two apparently conflicting facts, with regard to the early literature, some allowance should be made for poetical license, as the references are mainly extracts from ancient epics, and may convey a superlative impression of the art. Moreover, painting is a form of expression of obvious impermanence, and the Ramgarh frescoes are probably but a poor specimen of what was really an art of a high character. It is as well to realise also that in dealing with a technical subject such as painting,

literary records, except those rare treatises embracing the practical aspect of the art, should not be accepted as wholly reliable accounts of its appearance and character, but mainly regarded as supplementary proofs of its existence and extent. These literary testimonies may not be contemporary with this early period, but are manifestly inspired by very ancient traditions, or are based on works known to be of great antiquity.

The origin of painting in India is related in a pretty legend, the substance of which is that the god Brahma taught a king how to bring back to life the dead son of a Brahman, by executing a portrait of the deceased, which he endowed with life, and so made an efficient substitute for the dead youth whom Yama, the god of death, refused to give up. It is a favourite device of the Oriental historian to connect the origins and beginnings of things with the names of divinities or kings, but in this instance Brahma is no doubt referred to as the 'creator,' and as such is associated with *Vasavakarma*, the divine architect, the presiding genius of the arts and crafts. As a sequel to this ancient tradition of the birth of the art there is more than one record to the effect that portraiture was the earliest and most popular form of painting in India, and that it was not uncommonly the occupation of princes.

In this connexion there is an appropriate story, which goes back to the Epic Age of Indian history. The Princess Usha dreamt that a beautiful youth appeared to her, and was a companion in her walks abroad. She confided this to one of her maids-of-honour, Chitralekha (literally, 'a picture'), who had a natural gift for portraiture. This maid offered to relieve the anxiety of her mistress by painting the portraits of all the deities and great men of the time, so that the subject of the dream

might be identified. As soon as Usha saw the likeness of Anurādha, the grandson of Krishna, the youth of her vision was revealed to her. This artistic incident subsequently led to their nuptials and a series of adventures, all relating to the life of Krishna. The useful gift of being able to reproduce from memory the likeness of a person forms the subject of several ancient Indian legends. Laufer even states that Indian painting originated at kings' courts, and not as a result of priestly influence.

In the early literature of the country there are several references to this secular aspect of the art, with the further information that it was in wall-painting that these ancient artists largely excelled. With the advent of Buddhism, however, a new idea was introduced into painting, and religious subjects became the main theme of the artists of the time. An incident in the history of this creed is depicted on a fresco at Gyantse in Tibet. It represents an artist executing a portrait from the Buddha himself, in order that the picture thus painted might be sent as a present to a neighbouring king. The royal recipient was so impressed by the sanctity of this likeness that he enshrined the picture, and he and his followers were converted to Buddhism from that date. This story further emphasises the important position that the art of portraiture occupied in the East from the most ancient times.

As instances of early allusions to the art of painting, the *Vinaya Pitak*, a Pali Buddhist work, dating from the third or fourth century before the Christian era, makes several references to the pleasure-houses of King Pasenada, containing picture-halls (*chitrāgāra*), which were adorned with painted figures and decorative patterns. Painted halls are also described in the epic of the *Ramayana*, which composition in its original form is acknowledged to be of great

antiquity. These early mural pictures, for such they may be assumed to be, were undoubtedly the prototypes of the carved and painted 'picture galleries' of subsequent periods of Buddhist art, such as the painted cave-temples of Ajanta, the sculptured pictures on the walls of the columned halls of Anichur in Siam, and the series of pictorial reliefs on the terraces of Borobudur in Java. Other extracts might be produced from similar ancient records all having a direct bearing on the art of painting, but these here referred to may be regarded as evidence that this art at a very early age was an important one, being utilised by kings and princes to embellish their courts and palaces, and at the same time to please and educate the people.

A Tibetan historian in the seventeenth century, of the name of Tara Nath, in a summary account of Indian Buddhist art from the earliest times to the author's day, ascribes a great antiquity to all the crafts of India 'dating even from the remote age prior to the disappearance of the Teacher (400 B.C.).' He specially alludes to the superlative excellence of the earliest wall paintings, which he attributes to the gods. This work was subsequently carried on by the 'Yakshas' (Punya-Yanas), literally, 'the good people,' divinely inspired artists employed by Asoka (250 B.C.), and next by the semi-human Nagas, under the control of Nagarjuna (*circa* A.D. 200). This may have some relation to the fact that some of the superior Hindu craftsmen of the present day regard their art as a mystery—divine sent—and trace their descent from Visva-karma, 'the great and deathless god, Lord of the Arts.'

It is possible that some time during this early period the 'Sadanga,' or 'Six Limbs of Indian Painting,' were evolved, a series of canons laying down the main principles of the art. Vatsyayana, who lived during the third

century A.D. enumerates these in his *Kamasastra*, having extracted them from still more ancient works.

These 'Six Limbs' have been translated as follows:

1. *Rupabhedha*—The knowledge of appearances.
2. *Pramanam*—Correct perception, measure and structure.
3. *Bhava*—Action of feelings on forms.
4. *Lavana Yojanam*—Infusion of grace, artistic re-presentation.
5. *Sadrishyam*—Similitude.
6. *Varnikabhanga*—Artistic manner of using the brush and colours. —(Tagore.)

The subsequent development of painting by the Buddhists indicates that these 'Six Limbs' were put into practice by Indian artists, and are the basic principles on which their art was founded. The first of these canons, *Rupabhedha*, which refers to the study of nature, knowledge of the figure, landscape, and architecture, is noticeable in the early Buddhist work, where all these features have been carefully considered. *Pramanam* is proportion, anatomy and foreshortening — literally, perspective. The figures in the Ajanta frescos, described later, are a proof of the close observance of this law. The third canon, that of *Bhava*, deals with the effect of the mind on the body, in the representation of which the Buddhist artist greatly excelled. *Lavana Yojanam* is gracefulness and beauty, while *Sadrishyam* is simply truth, all of which constitute the first elements of good art. The final law, that of *Varnikabhanga*, relates to the correct use of the implements and materials employed in painting, and the observance of a sound method of technique. These six precepts are sufficient in themselves to prove that the art of painting had been extensively investigated and deeply studied in India at a very early age.

The Buddhist frescos of the succeeding period demonstrate that all these laws were faithfully followed, and even in the later and less artistic ages of the country's history the art of painting owed much to the continued application of these traditional principles.

The early artists of China also governed their art of painting on a similar plan by means of 'The Six Canons.' These are first mentioned by Hsieh Ho in the sixth century A.D., and, besides the number of laws being the same, there is a certain resemblance in the general intention of both these codes. The Chinese canons, emerging several centuries later, suggest that these were originally borrowed from the much older system of India.

Another compilation of undoubtedly ancient date, and showing indications of being based on pre-Buddhist traditions, is the *Ch'i-t'iao-shan*. This has been somewhat freely translated as 'The Theory of Painting,' but may be more accurately described as 'The Essential Marks or Characteristics of a Picture.' It deals with pictorial art in its religious sense, and connects the first use of painting with the images of the gods employed in the sacrificial cult. But the most interesting chapter treats of a rule of proportion for the drawing of figures, from the massive measurements of gods and kings to a more normal scale for the representation of ordinary people. The latter are to be depicted as of lesser height than kings, a system which is observable not only in India, but in the iconography of other countries and other ages. From the very minute instructions conveyed in this disquisition regarding the exact rules to be followed in setting out the figure, it proceeds to more general observations regarding the painting of the divine or human form. 'The standard face,' we are told, 'should be quadrangular, sharply out-

lined, beautifully finished, with shining and splendid attributes. It should not be made triangular, or crooked, nor should it be made oval or round. Whoever has painted a face accordingly will constantly possess blessings. For ordinary men a face longing after peace, lengthy or round, or triangular, etc., may be used.' The author then goes on to state that 'the hair of the head of a lord of men or of the gods should be fine and curly, coloured a heavenly blue.' The artist is allowed freedom in the delineation of women, but they should always be drawn in harmonious proportions so as to look modest, and in numerous groups, with due relation to the composition as a whole. Their flesh should be represented as youthful, and they should be painted in an upright posture. Many more technical details are included in this work, and it may be assumed from its tone and character, as well as its practical nature, that the art of painting occupied a prominent place in the ancient civilisation of the country.

This early treatise on the first principles of painting bears a close relation to a very important artistic code, which may have developed rather later. This was the *Śilpa Śāstra*, an elaborate system of aesthetic laws comprising the basis of every form of art in the country; and this has survived to the present day. The *Śilpa Śāstra* shows that a scientific method of co-ordinating the art traditions of the country in a comprehensive collection of aphorisms was a very early feature in the history of painting in India.

The sequence of unsettled political conditions that prevailed in India during this long interval may have been a contributory reason for such a discontinuity; but, as will be shown, it is remarkable that other arts flourished while painting apparently ceased. During the latter portion of this period, India was also undergoing the strokes of the Muhammadan invasion, great constitutional changes were taking place, and the country was too disturbed by this epoch-making aggression to produce any noteworthy arts. In its religious aspect, too, India was becoming transformed, on the one hand by the decline of Buddhism and the steady rise of Hinduism in its new and revived form, and on the other by the advent and growth of Muhammadanism. It will be seen, therefore, that generally the constitution of India was such as to preclude any prospect of a marked movement in the field of art during the greater part of the 'Medieval Period.'

Nevertheless it is inconceivable that the Buddhist painters died out, and their art became only a tradition, because of the change in the country's creed. Brahminism, which succeeded Buddhism, was, in other mediums, supremely artistic, as the sculpture and architecture of the period abundantly testify. In the sphere of the plastic arts, the period between the eighth and tenth centuries has been held to illustrate a high and complete realisation of Indian artistic ideals. The sculptural triumphs of this age, when the reformed religion of Hinduism was the motive power, are to be seen in the great monuments of Elephanta, Ellora and Borobudur in Java, representing the grandest efforts of the carvers' skill. But of painting, contemporary with these splendid examples, records are extremely rare. It seems as if this medium had ceased to be encouraged by the promoters of the revived creed.

Several explanations partially accounting for this state of affairs may be considered. It is quite possible that from climatic causes the examples of painting in this period may have perished, or they may have been destroyed by the fanatical followers of other sects. One view that presents itself is that progress in the different artistic media does not always continue on parallel lines; in other words, that the epoch of painting in India took place in the seventh century with the Buddhist frescoes of Ajanta, while the maturity of the sister art of sculpture was not attained until at least a hundred years later. The relative difficulties of technique have in more than one country been responsible for the uneven advance of these two forms of artistic expression, the brush being more easy of manipulation than the chisel.

The subject may, however, be approached from another direction. In view of the somewhat scanty records of painting in India itself, recourse may be had to an investigation of the art as practised in those territories immediately contiguous to her borders. Two adjacent countries at once produce some remarkable evidence, which throws no little light upon the subject. These are Eastern Turkestan and Tibet. Khotan, in Eastern Turkestan, formed a part of the Indian Empire under more than one dynasty, and the explorations of Stein and Le Coq have revealed much which has a direct bearing on the progress of Asian art during this mediæval period. All the evidence points to the fact that this area was the meeting place of Hellenistic, Indian, Persian, and Chinese civilisations in the first centuries of the Christian era. Research work at this important place of contact has produced the most valuable results. A considerable portion of the material collected on these ancient sites consists of examples of painting, such as frescoes and silken banners.

Much of the fresco work resembles in no minor degree the Ajanta cave-temple, but is of a subsequent date, as the mass of it belongs to the eighth century A.D. It is Buddhist in character, and in its vigorous linear drawing is obviously of the Indian school. In their widest aspect these remains are of the utmost importance on account of the hitherto almost blank page of Central Asian history which they now fill. In the field of art the great value of these frescos lies in the fact that they provide an insight into the probable state of painting in India, when the actual records of that country are deficient. The result of Stein's and Le Coq's labours have brought to light frescos showing characteristics of the art of all the countries, which were grouped around Khotan, but in some examples, especially those from Dandan-Uliq, dating from the eighth century A.D., the work might have been from the brush of one of the Ajanta painters, the similarity is so marked. In Chiu-tan Le Coq has unearthed several painted banners, which are the prototype of the well-known temple banner (*tangka*) of Tibet. The painting of the *tangka* is undoubtedly an old art, but although many ancient-looking examples have been procured from Tibet, few of these are likely to be older than the seventeenth century. But the Chiu-tan banners are of the eighth century, and by their appearance illustrate this aspect of Buddhist painting in an early stage of its development. That these pictures were also produced in India is proved by an interesting reference made by the Chinese critic, Teng Ch'un, in the eleventh century, who specially remarks that at the monastery of Nalanda, in Bengal, the priests 'painted pictures of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas on the linen of the West.' There was considerable religious intercourse between Magadha and Tibet in the eleventh century, which may account for the

two countries employing the same method of artistic expression.

In another respect the continuance of the Indian tradition, as demonstrated by the Ajanta paintings, may be found in Tibet, where the walls of the monasteries and temples are largely decorated with frescos illustrating various aspects of the Buddhist religion. Although none of these are sufficiently ancient actually to connect in any way with the latest Indian frescos, some of them are several centuries old, and in many of their features recall at once the classical paintings of the older school. The Tibetan temple banners, too, have their resemblance, which is one of technique. For these *lungkas* are also fresco paintings in a sense, being tempera paintings on canvas, the ground being prepared on the same principle as that employed in the mural art.

In Khotan, therefore, at an early date, and in Tibet at a later period, it may be possible to discern in the wall frescos and painted scrolls the course that Indian painting pursued during this medieval period. The process of time, in the case of Tibet, has transformed and conventionalised the art, but nevertheless there are evidences that it owed much of its character to the influence of the original Indian Buddhist school of painting.

IV

THE MUGHAL SCHOOL.

A. D. 1550 TO 1848

THE Mughal school of painting in India coincides with the period of the Mughal dynasty. Coming into prominence during the reign of Akbar in the latter half of the sixteenth century, it attained its apogee under that imperial dilettante, Jahangir. The reign of his successor, Shah Jehan, marks the first steps in its decline, while under the unsympathetic rule of Aurangzeb its death-knell was rung. It lingered on, a decadent art, under the Nawabs of Oudh until the end of the eighteenth century, and practically ceased to exist with the advent of the British rule. As a school of painting its duration was a short one, extending over only two and a half centuries, and it has been aptly referred to as not exactly a school, but more of a brilliant episode in the history of Indian art.

The ancestral home of Mughal painting was originally in Samarkand and Herat, where, under the Timurid kings in the fifteenth century, Persian art reached its zenith. An offshoot of Central Asian art, the term, Indo-Persian, or, more precisely still, Indo-Timurid, is regarded by some authorities as a more suitable name for this particular development of Indian painting. Timur's personal association with India is not ordinarily regarded as conducive to the cultivation of art, as the principal trace of his expedition into India, in 1398, was a wide track of desolate cities, sacked and burned by his Tartar hordes. But

history proves that the dynasty of the Timurids was not a barbaric one; indeed, there is every indication that the descendants of Timur were highly civilised and refined men, and the most artistic princes that ever reigned in Persia. Painting flourished directly under their patronage, being essentially an art of the court. Distinguished artists took service with these monarchs, passing from one prince to another, as the sceptre descended from father to son. Under the protection of Sultan Hussain of Khurasan, at the end of the fifteenth century, worked Bihzad, the greatest artist of the time, who has been called 'the Raphael of the East.' Babar, a descendant of Timur, and the original founder of the Mughal dynasty in India, speaks of Bihzad in his memoirs as 'the most eminent of all painters,' and it is evident from his writings that this monarch had studied his pictures most critically. It was with the descendants of such a school as Bihzad's, and under the personal patronage of the Emperor Akbar—grandson of such a connoisseur as Babar—that the Mughal school of painting came into being.

Among the many striking characteristics of the pioneers of the Mughal dynasty was their interest in things artistic. Occupied mainly in carving out for themselves a kingdom in a foreign country, they nevertheless made great use of their imperial influence in encouraging art, architecture, and manufactures. The keen æsthetic instincts of Babar were, owing to the vicissitudes of his career, never allowed to find expression, but the Emperor Akbar ruled at a more favourable time, and when India was prepared for an artistic revival. Architecture and the industrial arts of the age bear witness to his judicious encouragement, while the subject of painting received his special attention. Abul Fazl, whose observations in the *Am-i-Akbari* on the state

of painting at this period are exceedingly valuable, refers in the most emphatic manner to Akbar's personal interest in the painter and his art. This sympathetic attitude of the great monarch eventually led to a number of artists from other countries making their way to the Mughal court to carry on their art under the patronage of the Emperor. Trained mainly in the Persian or allied schools, these painters appear to have been welcomed by the royal connoisseur, and speedily entrusted with commissions. In *Abul Faal's* list of artists of this period may be noticed *Pirrah* the *Kabnak*, *Akhal-Sumai* the *Sherazi*, and *Mir Sayyad Ali* of *Tahriz*, a selection which indicates the geographical source of inspiration of early Mughal painting. Later, a few artists from *Somarkand* figure at the court of *Jehangir*, showing that this intimate connexion with the art of Iran was continuous.

From this it will be understood that fundamentally the Mughal school of painting was an exotic, just as the Mughals themselves were aliens in India; but in the same way as that race has gradually become absorbed into the people of Hindustan, so Mughal painting has come to be regarded as an integral part of the art of India. For, side by side with these foreign artists, worked the indigenous painters of the country, the excellence of whose native skill was speedily utilised by the observant Emperor. Such Hindu names as *Basawan*, *Daswanth*, and *Kesudasa*, famous painters at the court of Akbar, prove the liberal view that was taken of art at this time. The adaptability of these Hindu craftsmen may be realised from the fact that their royal patron commissioned them to illustrate the works of the Persian poet, *Nizami*, and other literary productions, normally foreign to their genius. Associated together in a congenial atmosphere of art, made possible by the generous

aesthetic temperament of their imperial employer, it will be readily seen that the one style speedily influenced the other, that each community was ready to profit by the other's experience, and, under these mutually responsive conditions, it is only natural that a combination of the two modes was the final result. From this favourable beginning the Mughal school of painting was developed.

During the time of Akbar, therefore, the new school took its origin, and in the early examples of this period the two styles of work above indicated may be easily distinguished. An art of the court, secular and eclectic in its character, it had no profound associations with the people or their country, it gave pleasure to princely connoisseurs, but outside the palace it was originally little known. As time progressed it became more democratic, and in its development, it is true, it penetrated to lower strata, but mainly in the form of popular portraiture. For one outstanding feature of the painting of the Mughals is its direction to the delineation of likenesses. Realism is its key-note, and its subjects are largely drawn from incidents connected with the magnificent court life of the time. In scale the Mughal picture is small, never attaining the dignity and size of the Buddhist frescos, and, under the popular name of 'miniature painting,' its connexion with Persian book illustrations may be observed. A record of the names of some forty artists, known to have lived during the reign of Akbar, many of whom were retainers of the royal court, will serve to indicate the flourishing condition of the art.

But it was left to the Emperor Jehangir to develop Mughal painting to its fullest extent. The notable artistic sense of his ancestor, Akbar, was rekindled with additional force in Jehangir, and one of this monarch's innocent

prides lay in the skill and genius of his court painters. Europeans were beginning to reach the capital of the 'Great Mughal' in the first half of the seventeenth century, and more than one of these travellers relates the great interest that Jehangir took in the productions of his retained artists. Portraiture and hunting scenes were the favourite subjects of this time, but the more scientific fields of botany and natural history were objects of special study. Unusual flowers or rare animals were ordered to be copied by the Emperor, and some of these pictures, most elaborate and faithful reproductions, have survived to the present day. Western paintings also were arriving in the country during this period, and the copying of these was frequently indulged in. Portraits of Europeans, obviously facsimiles of Occidental paintings, are occasionally forthcoming, and pictures illustrating incidents in connexion with Christianity, which was then making its appearance in India, are not rare. All these date from the time of Jehangir, when the painting of pictures was given every encouragement, and was fully appreciated by the ruling class.

Under the succeeding rule of Shah Jehan, the Mughal school shows the first signs of deterioration; the robust character of the work executed in the previous reign is not so marked, there is an increased sense of richness and luxury in colouring and composition, and the artists' handling is not so vigorous. Under Shah Jehan architecture reached its zenith, but painting began to decline. This fact may be another illustration of the one art preceding the other, on account of the facility of manipulation. At the same time Shah Jehan's personal predilection for monumental building accounted for this in some degree, as the Taj Mahal eloquently testifies. The quantity of painting executed about this time was maintained, but the quality is

slightly inferior. As the art centred around the court—and this was mainly held at Delhi during the reign of Shah Jahan—*the Delhi *shikar** (stuck or style) originated, and is a form of the art maintained in a somewhat debased manner to the present day. With the advent of Aurangzeb the decadence of the Mughal school steadily continued, and it is doubtful whether it received much personal encouragement from this bigoted ruler. Among the nobles and courtiers it still had a certain amount of popularity, and in some localities it flourished. But it ceased to receive the all-important stimulus of royal patronage, and accordingly languished. Incidentally Aurangzeb's conquests in Southern India introduced the Mughal style of painting into the Deccan, and we find a southern development of the art displaying certain characteristics which have given it the name of the Deccani *shikar*. This is the only instance of Mughal painting being practised outside that portion of India known as Hindustan. Towards the end of its career one or two families of artists eventually settled at Patna, in Bihar, but their ancestral home was Upper India, until force of circumstances drove them into the Lower Provinces.

After the death of Aurangzeb the Mughal style of painting lingered on under the feeble Emperors who succeeded him, but in a degenerate form. It revived slightly at Lucknow under the Nawabs of Oudh at the end of the eighteenth century, but the work was very inferior. During the nineteenth century what remained of the art became influenced by European pictures, especially miniatures, which found their way into the country at this time. Several of the hereditary artists quickly adapted their style to suit the taste of the 'Joint Company' merchants, who desired miniature portraits of themselves and their families in the manner of the West. A number of miniature paintings,

executed in a semi-European fashion, have been forthcoming, and indicate the state of this final stage of the art. But before it arrived at this condition, Mughal painting to all intents and purposes was dead, and it ceased to exist in the last years of Aurangzeb, when the dynasty founded by Babur was approaching its dissolution. Originating in the atmosphere of imperial state, its existence depended largely on aristocratic patronage, and when this was withdrawn the end came. Its roots never penetrated to the subsoil of India proper, but as a splendid pictorial record of Mughal pageantry and power it holds a prominent position in the history of Indian painting.

THE RAJPUT SCHOOL

A.D. 1550 TO 1900

THE Mughal painting of India being of comparatively recent date, a considerable number of the miniatures of this period are to be seen in public galleries and private collections. Among these, illustrating the different *schools* or local variations of the art, a certain proportion have been noticed, which are sufficiently distinct in character and intention from the typical Mughal work to suggest an entirely separate school of painting. This particular class of work emanates from two large areas of Northern India, namely, Rajasthan and the Punjab Himalayas. This Rajput painting, for that is the title by which it has become designated, is essentially Hindu in expression, and in many aspects demonstrates that it is the indigenous art of India, a direct descendant of the classic frescos of Ajanta. Older and more profound than the contemporary painting of the Mughals, in order to understand its ancient lineage it is necessary for the moment to retrace our steps to a previous period in the history of this subject.

After the close of the Buddhist period of Indian painting in the seventh century A.D., it has been shown that concrete records of this art are exceedingly rare, so that its progress is not readily observable. History, however, enables us to see the main course it was likely to pursue. A period of political confusion ensued until the rise of the Rajputs in the ninth century. For a time these descendants of the

ancient kings of Gujarat held sway over the greater part of Northern India, but dissensions led to their downfall before the invasions of the Muhammadans. The impact of their personality and civilisation still remains, and may have been the protective influence which assisted in preserving the traditions of Indian painting almost intact in Rajputana during this period of transition. Traces of an art of fresco painting are to be seen in the medieval palaces of Jaipur, Bikanir, Jodhpur, and Udaipur, the homes of the Rajput princes, where it is a living craft to this day. These old examples indicate much individuality, but are mainly decorative, and include elements betokening Persian and, indirectly, Chinese associations. They may be regarded, however, as a visible connecting link between the classic style of the Buddhist period and the later work of the Rajputs. But during this interval of many centuries the renaissance of the Hindu religion had intervened, and Buddhism, as a creed had disappeared, taking with it the subject-matter and inspiration of its art. The revived Hinduism brought with it a new order of things—changes in faith and practice. These, although affecting the subsequent artistic character of the Indian people, lie outside the present subject, but the caste basis, the increased interest in mythological literature, the dramatic nature of the temple processions, feasts and festivals, the picturesque ritual of the religion itself, all served to stimulate the artistic sense of the people. But the trend of this artistic feeling found its chief expression in the field of industrial arts, sculpture and architecture, rather than in that of painting. The manufacture and embellishment of the many varieties of ceremonial implements and utensils, the innumerable attributes and accessories of the gods, the temple fittings and furniture, all provided steady and constant employment.

to the hosts of artisans who flourished during this period. Architecture also received a substantial impetus, as in course of time the two great sects of Vishnu and Shiva gradually evolved, and temples to these two religious systems were being raised in all parts of the country. These buildings were lavishly adorned with the most elaborate sculptures, representing human and superhuman forms. The new theology brought with it the worship of a personal god, and a development of anthropomorphism which is reflected in every aspect of the life of the people. Painted pictures, which largely sufficed for the simpler ritual of the Buddhists, did not satisfy the craving of the Hindus for an actual 'graven image'—a realistic embodiment of their chosen deity. As a consequence, the plastic arts predominated, while painting proportionately declined. Nevertheless, that natural conservatism, which is one of the characteristics of the Indian people, was a means of protecting the pictorial art in certain localities, and there are proofs that the traditions of painting were maintained, although somewhat indistinctly, during these long years of religious reconstruction. Transforming influences were at work, but the original handicraft still lived, and was carried on, in spite of the spiritual and political changes to which the whole country was subjected. And this is the art which eventually emerges out of the darkness of the Middle Ages, and is brought once more into focus under the formative rule of the Mughals.

It is during this rule that indigenous painting, now referred to as Rajput, again comes into view, but the form it took previous to the Mughal dynasty is practically unknown. It is true that a type of book-illustration, associated with *Jana* manuscripts of the fifteenth century, is forthcoming, but, interesting though these are, they throw very little real

light on the state of the pictorial art before the appearance of the Mughal school in the following century. Few true examples of Rajput painting earlier than the reign of the Emperor Akbar have been preserved, and historical references to the art previous to this period are rare. In the first years of the eighth century, when the Arab, Muhammad Kasim, was conquering Sind, a contemporary chronicler relates that a deputation of Hindus came to ask if they might paint portraits of him and some of his officers. This reference, although slight, has no little significance, for it emphasises the important place painting occupied in the minds of the people at that time, and that portraiture, always popular in India, was a special feature of the art. Furthermore, it serves to indicate that painting was practised and apparently very highly esteemed in a country under the sway of the Rajputs when this interesting event took place. It is not difficult to see, therefore, that in the extensive tract of country corresponding to the Rajputana of that time, painting was a handicraft of considerable prominence, and, at a later date, Jeypore, one of the leading States of the Rajput confederacy, became a centre of Rajput art. What has been designated the Rajasthani style of Rajput painting, is known to Indian painters as the Jeypore *Asliwa*, and this work has a special character of its own.

From Jeypore, and other cities of Rajputana, these artists eventually gravitated to the vicinity of the Mughal court when this dynasty came into being, the artistic atmosphere which it cultivated naturally attracting many exponents of this craft. Delhi, Agra and Lahore, all maintained at different times during the seventeenth century their local styles of painting, much of which was Rajput in its character. Then came the bigotry of the Emperor Aurangzeb, which disintegrated the artistic community built up by his

professors, and caused it to scatter in detached units over various parts of the country. As already intimated, Lucknow, Hyderabad (Deccan), and still later Patna in Bengal, besides other cities of the plains, received these families of hereditary painters, who settled down and carried on their art for some generations, their pictures being identified by certain differences in style and technique.

But the most virile offshoot of the Rajput school manifested itself in a group of small States in the Punjab Himalayas, where a distinct style of painting is observable. This work is alluded to by experts as of the '*Kangra kalā*,' as the leading State was that of Kangra. A broader and more modern view of Indian painting has designated this development as 'Pahari,' or 'belonging to the mountains.' Nurpur, Haridwar, Chamba and Jammu, all in close proximity to Kangra, were the homes of these painters, and a considerable amount of work was produced by the artists of these places. Pictures are forthcoming, specially portraits, which date from the middle of the seventeenth century, but it is doubtful whether there are any examples of the *Kangra kalā* earlier than these. It may be only a coincidence, but this date corresponds to the breaking up of the Mughal school in Hindustan, and it is quite possible that certain families of Rajput painters found shelter and patronage in the retreat these valleys afforded, when the Mughal court ceased to give these artists further support. The ripest period of this Kangra painting was under the Katoch Rajas, particularly Sansar Chand, who reigned during the latter part of the eighteenth century, at which time the school received its fullest patronage.

The *Kangra kalā*, or Pahari school, is one of the most interesting facts in connexion with the history of painting in India. In a remote and isolated group of valleys, far

removed from any of the great cities of the plains, there lived and flourished for some centuries a community of artists, whose work became known only after the art had almost died out, and when the construction of roads and railways had opened it up to communication. Pahari painting does not denote great inspiration or display any decided expression of thought or feeling. It is an art of patient labour and assiduous devotion. Its chief features are delicacy of line, brilliancy of colour, and minuteness of decorative detail.

The work of the Pahari painters was almost entirely executed for a local demand, and was produced under the patronage of the reigning princes. At the order of these chiefs the artists painted portraits of the neighbouring aristocracy in large numbers, and also long series of pictures illustrating the mythological and religious writings of the Hindus. Portraiture was, however, the special feature of the Hill Rajputs, and it is noticeable that most of the features are drawn in exact profile. In Chamba, one of the most artistic of these States, the Raja was often depicted in company with his Rani and heir apparent, a domestic scene not noticed elsewhere. The painting was of the miniature order, as was most of the Rajput work, in this particular resembling the Mughal miniatures of the same period, but distinct in all other characteristics, and in no sense to be confused with this court art of the ruling dynasty of the plains. In the nineteenth century the Pahari artists extended the sphere of their activity, and it is noticeable that a considerable number of their pictures, especially portraits of kings and princes, and historical, religious and mythological subjects, found their way to the large cities of Hindustan. The Sikh court, at Lahore and Amritsar, ruled over by the Maharajah Ranjit Singh

(1803-39), also gave it some encouragement, as there are numerous paintings of Sikh notabilities forthcoming, painted in the Kangra *kalā*. Many of the leading families of the Panjab had collections of Pahari pictures in their possession, and it is more than likely that some members of this community of artists at the hills returned again to work in that part of the country, which had originally maintained their progenitors of a century or so before.

At the close of the nineteenth century the Pahari art shows signs of decline, a harder and less sympathetic treatment taking the place of the soft refined quality which characterised the earlier work. These valleys were also losing their sense of isolation. Intercourse with the outer world became easier as good roads came into existence, and its independent nature began to disappear. The younger generation of these artistic families started to seek their fortunes in other fields, and their hereditary manual skill soon found them remunerative work in the drawing offices of Government departments. The real end of the Kangra *kalā*, as it still continued to struggle on in its pleasant little valleys, came with a tragic suddenness in the early morning of April 4th, 1905, when the great 'Dharmajia' earthquake struck most of this district out of existence, leaving nothing of the prosperous town of Kangra but a mound of ruins. This catastrophe, which killed not only the art but most of the artists, marked the termination of a most interesting development. With it the long-continued course of Rajput painting came to a close, and the passing of this art may be regarded as the last phase of the true indigenous school of painting in India.

VI

THE MODERN SCHOOLS

A.D. 1760 TO 1915

THE close of the Mughal dynasty, in A.D. 1760, found the art of painting in India in a state of decay. In Delhi and Lucknow a number of artists still carried on their profession, but their productions were largely degenerate copies of the old art. The painters of the former city gradually evolved a style of miniature portraiture, which at the present time has some small popularity. A few Mohammedan families continue to produce this class of work, which consists mainly of representations of members of the Mughal dynasty, conventional likenesses of no great artistic merit. These craftsmen are descendants of the original painters of the Mughal school, and still observe a few of the traditions of that art. Their materials and technique were, until a few years ago, of the ancient indigenous type, and they recall some features of the medieval painting of India. Some of the older Delhi miniatures, executed about fifty years ago, generally on ivory, express a certain amount of feeling and quality, but as a whole the modern Delhi painting cannot be considered a high form of art.

During the end of the eighteenth century and the beginning of the nineteenth, a characteristic style of painting was practised in Lucknow, manifesting some of the attractive qualities of the old school, but, unfortunately, much impregnated with inferior European influence. Portraiture was the main theme, and the actual likenesses were often

successfully rendered. But the accessories indicate an ostentation, and a want of taste, typical of the State of Oudh at that time. Executed with all the technical care of the mediæval miniatures of the last period, they are spoiled by the vulgarity of their setting, and the strained and debase'd treatment of the whole conception.

Rajput painting also at this time was evidently declining. Nevertheless, in some of the retreats of the Punjab Hill States, where the mediæval system of living still prevailed, painting of an excellent character was being executed well into the nineteenth century. In the Punjab, at Lahore and Amritsar, the productions of several Sikh painters found favour at the end of the nineteenth century, their work showing a strange mixture of the East and West. One, Kapur Singh, painted a large number of figure subjects, miniature in size, and showing a very fair knowledge of drawing with considerable action.

A few families of hereditary artists about this period began to settle in Patna, in Bengal, where they developed a distinct style of painting, hard and unfeeling, yet of certain merit. For a time some of these painters received patronage from the early European merchants and Anglo-Indians, who encouraged them to produce miniature portraits in a semi-European manner. Many of these have survived, and indicate a curiously composite style, illustrative of the state of the art in the early years of 'John Company.'

In the South of India the art of painting progressed on lines somewhat different from the North. A Persian style of work was practised in the Deccan as early as the sixteenth century, having probably been introduced by the Turkoman founders of the various Muhammadan States which flourished at that time. The earlier examples denote a Turkish origin, but later the work evinced a character

similar to the Mughal painting in the Delhi style, although it continued to display certain minor features which distinguish it from this Northern development of the art. It is more than possible that the school was reinforced by families of painters from Hindustan, brought to the Deccan by Aurangzeb's forced immigration, or who had naturally wandered there when the Mughal school lost its patronage. The productions of the painters of Aurangabad and Daulatabad in the eighteenth century are smaller than the northern work, and lacking in breadth of treatment, while their subject-matter is generally semi-historical, being associated with the various rulers in the Deccan at this period. Descendants of these artists are still to be found living at Hyderabad and Nerkonda.

Further south there are records of the art, which, on the one hand, may indicate that it had a separate existence, while on the other, tradition associates it with the painting of Northern India. Tara Nath makes a brief reference to painting 'in the south,' and mentions by name three artists, Jaja, Patojaya, and Vijaya, who had many followers. The date of these is unknown. At a comparatively late period we find the art resolved into the two separate schools of Tanjore and Mysore.

The Tanjore artists are stated locally to have come originally from Hindustan, in the reign of Raja Narabhoji, towards the end of the eighteenth century. Being Hindus, this suggests that they were a branch of the Rajput school, which, broken up during the decline of the Mughal dynasty, gradually drifted south until it came under the artistic protection of the Tanjore court, and, under royal encouragement, eventually developed into a local school. Originally its artists were few in number, but these gradually increased, and during the reign of Sivaji (1833-55), the last of the

Tanjore rajahs, there were eighteen families all doing excellent painting on ivory and wood. The later form of the art is similar to the 'jarah' of Northern India, and consisted of a water-colour painting on wood, but heavily gilt and encrusted with precious stones or paste gems. These artists were also responsible for a number of large portraits in oils, some actual life size, a collection of which may be seen in the Tanjore palace and the old palace at Pudukottah.

With the death of Sivaji, and the end of the dynasty, the royal patronage ceased and the school dispersed. Most of the painters then took up other kinds of art handicrafts, some becoming goldsmiths, while others engaged in the art of working in sola pith, an industry of some reputation in Southern India. A few families still cling to their ancestral art, and execute 'bazaar' pictures of religious subjects much appreciated by the local Hindus. These paintings have little artistic merit, being embellished with much gilding and many paste gems, but are thoroughly good in workmanship. When in its prime the Tanjore school specialised mainly in portraiture, painted on ivory, which, for miniatures, were large in size, some of them being over six inches long. The art was distinctly local, as it is rare to find any of the personages depicted not immediately associated with the court of Tanjore, although a few portraits of Pudukottah celebrities are forthcoming.

The other southern development of the art—the Mysore school of painting—reached its highwater mark under the rule of Raja Krishnaraja Wodeyar, in the first half of the nineteenth century. Previous to this, however, the school is known to have been a flourishing one for more than a hundred years. Under the personal supervision of Krishnaraja the court artists enjoyed a great reputation, and it is

recorded that this raja's keenest delight lay in encouraging the painters to compete one against the other in depicting some subject selected by this royal connoisseur. Like the Tanjore artists, they executed much portraiture on ivory, a collection of which was displayed in the Mysore palace. On the death of Krishnaraja, in 1868, these artists were dispersed and the school became extinct.

From the foregoing the condition of painting in India towards the end of the nineteenth century may be realised. It will be seen that the art generally was approaching the final stage in its downward course, and that its degeneration was almost complete. This state is not an unfavourable one for an aesthetic revival. The advent of a new spirit may quicken it again into artistic life. And in the last decade of the century signs of this spirit are observable—for a small but earnest group of Bengali painters, grasping the situation, have joined themselves together with the intention of endeavouring to resuscitate Indian painting and of rescuing it from the degradation into which it has drifted. The leader of this art movement is Abanindra Nath Tagore, a member of a talented family, which has distinguished itself in other fields of learning. Around him he has gathered, by virtue of his keen artistic instincts and magnetic personality, a small school of young painters, whose work is already producing considerable effect. The first step taken by these reformers has necessarily been a retrogressive one. They contend that the recent art of the country, in assimilating, as it had been doing, the elements of the Occident, is pursuing a doubtful course, and that a return journey must be made, back to the point where it began to lose its traditional character. Its members, therefore, have sought out the old historic painting of the past, the frescos of Ajanta and

Sigiriya, the religious banners of Tibet, and the miniatures of the best artists of the Mughal and Rajput schools, and on the results acquired from a study of these and other masterpieces of Oriental art, the new movement has been founded.

The work of the artists comprising this new school is not a slavish imitation of any of these historic styles, or a composite creation based on the whole. On the contrary, their productions display an originality which is a definite assurance of each individual's personal aspirations after a pre-conceived ideal. If each picture is regarded separately, it is possible to detect traces of several influences—Japanese in this example, or Persian in that—but, taken as a whole, the work of this movement manifests a genuine desire on the part of the artist to interpret in colour his imaginative conceptions, and to reproduce these in the indigenous style and by means of traditional methods. The subjects selected are largely illustrative of divine philosophy, as this is presented in the classical literature of the country. Scenes are taken from the writings of Kalidasa, the *Rāmāyana* and the *Mahābhārata*, the *Gītā* and the *Purānas*, and incidents of ancient Indian history. The pictures are almost invariably small, as were the folio paintings of the Mughals to which they bear some similarity; it will be realised, therefore, that in the formation of this school a practical effort has been made to bring about a revival of Indian painting, in the style in which this art was practised during its best periods.

The earnestness of these artists is undoubtedly a great asset, but whether this is a sufficiently stable basis on which to build up a national revival remains to be seen. Art—and by this is ordinarily understood painting, sculpture and the allied handicrafts—is but the handmaid of archi-

terture, which has been the foundation of all great aesthetic movements since history began. A powerful creative spirit is an essential quality, if the specific end at which these artists have aimed is to be attained. The study and practice of architecture, together with the co-related arts of sculpture and painting, appears desirable, in order that the movement may develop a constructive character sufficient to sustain it in its course. Some efforts in this direction are being made, and the subject will no doubt be treated in its broadest aspect as the school gains in strength.

But modern painting in India has not all assumed this special form, and there are other artists, apart from those associated with the new movement, whose work has achieved some reputation. Oil and water-colour painting, as carried on in the West, have been carefully studied by certain individuals, in both Indian and European art schools, in some cases with considerable success, although there is undoubtedly much work of this nature which is only mediocre in quality.

Viewed generally, however, the condition of painting in India at the present time is not satisfactory. On the one hand is the new movement, which is appreciated by all except those to whom it is primarily addressed—for so far it has made a more successful appeal to the European than to the Indian. On the other hand is the work of the student of Western oil painting, whose productions find considerable favour among those of his own community. The art is, therefore, undergoing a transition, during which the two modes are struggling for supremacy. But the country and its arts have endured these aesthetic conflicts before, and history has more than once proved that these are but the natural prelude to a period of artistic regeneration. While the new movement is, therefore, a most welcome sign, it is

clear that a still more progressive step towards the attainment of this would be some real indication of an intelligent improvement in public taste. The aesthetic sense of the Indian people, for the time being, is deficient, and the elevation of this consciousness to a higher level is necessary before any appreciable advance can be recorded. The new movement is a beginning, but this must be reciprocated by a genuine improvement in taste, initiated by the cultured classes of the country. When these two forces actually move in unison, a revival of the art of India may not be far distant.

PART II
DESCRIPTION OF INDIAN
PAINTING

PORTRAITURE UNDER THE MUGHALS

ALTHOUGH portraiture was a special feature of the painting of the Mughal period, it was also an art of considerable popularity in India from very remote times. It is related that during the lifetime of the Buddha, when Ajatasattu desired a portrait of the Master, he allowed his shadow to fall on a piece of cloth, and then this shape was filled in with colour. This suggests an early employment of the silhouette, which, as a process, may have some connexion with the portraiture of the Mughal artists, who almost invariably depicted the features in exact profile. The favourite incident in the ancient legends of the country of the almost miraculous gift of certain people in 'seizing a likeness' has already been referred to. There is also some evidence that portraiture is to be found in the Buddhist frescos, an example being the paintings at Sigiriya, in Ceylon, which are presumed to represent the features of King Kasyapa's queens. If the scenes in Cave I at Ajanta really depict Khosru Parviz and King Pulakesin, these may also be regarded as within the sphere of portraiture; the picture supposed to represent the former, on the ceiling of this cave, certainly suggests the likeness of a specific person. Any portraiture that may be accepted as such, in the Buddhist frescos, is idealistic in its character, but many of the figures there represented are well-known characters in the Buddhist scriptures, and although treated ideally they are

the embodiments of the particular personality intended by the artist. In a monastery at Gyantse, in Tibet, there is a Buddhist fresco of ancient date in which the pride of the painter in his art was so great, that, as a finishing touch to his work, he introduced into one portion of the design a portrait of himself, a likeness of exceptional interest, the face being remarkable for its character and expression. This painting was, of course, executed centuries later than that of Ajanta, but the style is so similar that these frescos might well have been the work of the same hand.

The particular reference to the portraiture of the Hindus, already alluded to, which appears to have flourished in Sind in the eighth century (see page 57), indicates the important position this art held in the people's estimation at a period in history when information on this subject generally is singularly meagre.

A few examples of early medieval portraits have been forthcoming in connexion with the Mughal school, which are no doubt of direct foreign origin, being probably Southern Persian work. They display the sinuous treatment, both in composition and outline, characterising the work of Sultan Mahmud, and his contemporaries of the Tahirid school, who flourished in Persia towards the middle of the sixteenth century. Specimens of this style of painting may be seen among collections of Indian pictures, but they were either brought over by Mughal connoisseurs, or painted in India by Persian artists retained at the imperial court during the first years of the Mughal dynasty. Plate II is an illustration of this type of miniature. Sultan Mahmud was a painter who studied under Bahad, the great master of Persian art, and these examples of his school found in India are rare and very interesting.

The records of Mughal portraiture in India show that

some of its leading artists were Hindus, such as Bhagwati and Hunar. The former was an early exponent in the sixteenth century: the latter flourished somewhat later. It is not clear whether these two individuals were the descendants of hereditary Hindu portrait painters, or were the result of the demand of the Mughal emperors for skilled artists in this branch of art. Bhagwati's style was obviously almost pure Persian, in fact, he has been described as 'clearly one of those Hindu painters who worked slavishly in a foreign tradition': but Hunar was of the Rajput school. In fact, the productions of the latter are so Indian in feeling as to suggest that he was one of an hereditary line of Hindu artists, only connected by circumstance with the Mughal school.

This reference may serve to indicate the mixed origin of Mughal portraiture, which, as a distinct art, becomes observable in India at the latter end of the sixteenth century. From this time it speedily developed, and soon became a feature of the painting of the time, owing to the encouragement it received from the Mughal court. For it is stated in the *Ain-i-Akbari* that 'His Majesty himself sat for his likeness, and also ordered to have the likenesses taken of all the grandees of the realm. An immense album was thus formed: those that have passed away have received a new life, and those who are still alive have immortality promised them.'

It was left, however, to the Emperor Jahangir to show the keenest personal interest in the productions of the portrait painter, and the royal dilettante prided himself not a little on the skill of the artists employed at his court. Sir Thomas Roe relates the story of the Emperor having five copies of a certain portrait made by one of his court painters, and was childishly amused because the ambassador

could not immediately distinguish the original from the copies. 'You confesse hee is a good workman,' said Jehangir, 'send for him home, and shew him such toys as you have, and let him choose one in requittall whereof you shall choose any of these copies to shew at England. We are not so unskifull as you esteem us, so be pleased me to choose one, which I did: the king wrapping it up in a paper, and putting it up in a little booke of mine, delivered it with much joy and exultation of his man's supposed victory.'

François Bernier, the French physician at the court of a later monarch, Aurangzeb, although much struck by the excellent quality of the portrait painting, was at the same time inclined to be severely critical, and says, 'The Indian painters are chiefly deficient in just proportions and in the expression of the face,' adding in a somewhat supercilious manner, 'but these defects would soon be corrected if they possessed good masters, and were instructed in the rules of the art.'

A study of representative examples of Mughal miniatures proves at once that the artist of that time was possessed of a marked natural gift for portraiture. The not altogether unpleasing vanity of the Mughal aristocrats encouraged this, and the interest that these individuals took in themselves and their own performances caused this branch of art to be a flourishing one.

The commonest examples of portraiture, although not necessarily the best, are those of the rulers of the Mughal dynasty. Members of the royal line are almost always distinguished by a golden halo, besides other insignia of their high degree. It is not unusual for pictures to have been painted displaying two or three succeeding emperors of the dynasty seated together, and similar situations not

historically correct, but as a rule the artist confined himself to a representation of a single figure standing on a green sward carpeted with flowers, with a background of blended colours in which a pleasing shade of terra-cotta often predominates. The rich brocades and cloths of gold which formed the usual costume of the Mughal aristocracy, presented the artist with excellent opportunities for brilliant schemes of mosaic colouring, and he further heightened his glowing effects by picking out the accessories with burnished gold. Occasionally the diaphanous draperies of the hot weather were introduced, through the transparent folds of which the form of the limbs is seen. The figure is frequently represented as a dark scheme against a light neutral-tinted or almost colourless background. A few portraits, however, are painted against a flat background of very dark green and occasionally black, evidently the work of an artist of some originality. No light and shade, or cast shadows, as ordinarily understood, are observable, only a delicate toning and modelling are introduced to bring out the relief. The picture relies on its rich colouring, sympathetic outline drawing, and decorative treatment for its artistic effect.

Such was the general scheme in which these portraits were conceived, but it is in the delineation of the actual features that the genius of the Mughal portrait painter is seen at its best. Technically the actual painting of the face and head is a marvel of likeness and finish, but the amount of character that the artist has put into the likeness of his subject is only excelled in the medals of Pisanello. The mental 'stock-in-trade' of an experienced portrait painter contains much knowledge of human nature as reflected in the visage of the sitter, and the Mughal artist had this knowledge at his finger tips. Stiff and formal

though his portraits at first sight may seem to be, the delicate drawing and subtle modeling of the likeness is there in its perfection, and by means of these qualities we realize the character and soul of the original—actually look into the heart of the man himself. Contemporary historians may have described this distinguished individual according to his own dictation—fulsome and flattering—but the artist has sub-consciously presented him as his deeds had marked him, great or petty, kind or cruel, generous or miserly, true or false, strong or vacillating—these qualities reveal themselves, touch by touch, through the fine brush, dexterous hand and observant eye of this brilliant character delineator.

The majority of the portraits of the Mughal school represent the figure in almost complete profile. The earlier Indo-Persian portrait showed more freedom in this respect, the face in these examples being not infrequently a three-quarter view. After this it seems a retrograde step to find the subsequent Mughal artists adhering to the rigid profile system ordinarily associated with the figure-drawing of a more primitive type. As a picture the Mughal portrait is pre-eminently a conventional production, controlled by certain laws, bound by traditions, and ruled by the changing fashions of the court. In the hands of any but an experienced artist, a portrait produced on these exacting terms would tend to be a stiff and lifeless work, lacking in interest and conviction. As would be expected, the result, at its best, is conventional and decorative, but it is considerably more than this. For by his inherent skill the painter has not only redeemed it from what might have been a rudimentary commonplace, but has produced a work of art of great character. A good Mughal portrait undoubtedly possesses a charm and quality which is peculiarly its own.

The fact that the likeness presented by the Mughal painter is a true portrait has already been emphasised, but apart from this essential consideration the actual technique in the surface treatment, the shading and colouring of the features is of unquestionable merit. Then above all is the exceptionally fine drawing, which, in some examples, may be studied under unusual conditions. For it is not uncommon to find specimens of this school, consisting of very carefully executed impressions in black and white of the head alone—the remainder of the picture being merely faintly outlined on the paper. Some of these are preliminary sketches, while others are drawings left unfinished and before the actual pigments have been applied. But in both cases they serve the useful purpose of enabling the student to observe the skillfulness of the painter at a particularly interesting stage of his work. In these marvelously fine 'thumb-nail' sketches it is possible to study the accuracy of the drawing, the breadth, and, at the same time, the minuteness of the modeling, and the high quality of the artist's handiwork generally. Any of these drawings may be enlarged to several times the actual size of the original, yet they continue to retain all the virtues which are the charm of the miniature.

In the finished picture the plumed and jeweled head-dress, and the pearls and precious stones around the neck, acted as a foil to the delicate painting of the face, while, in the case of royalty, the golden halo, surrounding the whole, adds a dignity and emphasis which is unmistakable. The background to the figure may be a plain golden-green wash, blending into blue or melting into a copper-coloured sky at the upper border, and the individual usually stands stiffly posed on a little garden plot, occupying the lower limit of the picture. A few flowers growing about the feet are

intended to break the formality of this composition, but the simplicity of the background contrasting with the richness of the costume and figure is evidently the artist's main idea.

The somewhat severe arrangement of the Mughal portrait is, however, relieved by one important feature, namely, the natural treatment of the hands. Reference has been already made to the expressive manner in which these are depicted in the Buddhist frescos. This tradition is maintained in the subsequent art of the Mughals, and the hands are always well posed and conscientiously drawn. It is doubtful whether the portrait painter of any other age has realised, as fully as the Indian artist, the importance of this aspect of his subject. The painter, be he Buddhist, Rajput or Mughal, saw at once the significance of the position of the hands, as well as the great character that lies in the fingers, and used this knowledge with notable effect in his artistic productions. It is not an exaggeration to say that the final test of a good Mughal miniature may be applied to the hands. A favourite method of the artist was to lay these naturally over each other on the sword-hilt, a dignified action, eminently suitable to the subject. But if special attention to the hand was considered desirable, the apparently affected pose of holding a flower or jewel was employed. To the modernist this is not exactly natural or manly, but the Mughals were fond of gardens and flowers, as well as personal ornaments, and it was a means of showing the character of the hand in probably quite the usual manner of the time.

The larger portion of the miniatures of the Mughal period, which have been handed down to us, have as their subject a representation of some individual who had an actual existence before or during this great dynasty. Kings

and jesters, queens and dancing girls, princes and ascetics, saints and soldiers, courtiers and grooms. Authentically named likenesses of all sorts and conditions of people are depicted, and collectively form a national portrait gallery of which few countries can boast. The old Indian historian has been frequently criticised for the scantiness of his character studies, but the artist has made ample amends for these literary shortcomings, and has produced in a most lively manner the figures and features of the people of his time. But this versatile painter has done even more than this. Long years before there lived and died men whose names for some distinguished work or action had become household words in different parts of Asia. Some of these existed in those mythological ages which form the foundation of the history of India and Persia, and had carved out by the power of the sword the countries which they subsequently ruled and made famous. By the skill of the Mughal artist a succeeding generation was not only able to cherish the memory of its departed heroes, but it was possible for the people to preserve in their homes a traditional portrait of this historical person. For, taken probably in the first instance from a contemporary original, this was copied and re-copied right through the centuries, still retaining in its expression or drawing those characteristic features by which it could be immediately recognised by all men. Fredj, a prince of Persia in that country's legendary period; Chenghis Khan, Emperor of the Mongols; Imam Ali, the son-in-law of the Prophet, are examples, taken at random, of pictures which could be obtained until quite recent times. Only a few years ago it was possible to secure in the Punjab a portrait of Alexander the Great, with typical Grecian helmet, who, it may be recalled, spent only eighteen months in India, and that considerably over two thousand years ago. Many

of the portraits are traditional. The features of distinguished personages are repeated so often that the artists are able at will to draw Aurangzeb, Akbar, or Dost Mahanuzel. They have thus reduced their portrait-gallery to a series of conventional types, which, however, are at once properly recognised' (J. Lockwood King).

This, however, largely applies to a later development of Mughal portraiture when, instead of a superior art remarkable for its originality, it had begun to degenerate into a system of stereotyped copying.

For the first half of the Mughal dynasty the art was an aristocratic one, produced to the order of the ruling princes and the ladies around the court. Gradually it began to appeal to the people, and a demand for a cheap and popular form of portraiture arose. This appears to have been its death-knell, for with the advent of the eighteenth century the production of copies of notable personages had become a trade which speedily took the place of the old art. This trade was conducted by a system of reproducing from a paper stencil. In the case of historical notabilities there usually existed one or more accepted types of this individual's portrait. No doubt these 'type-pictures' were, in some instances, original paintings made by one of the leading artists of the time from the living person. But in the very ancient or semi-mythical portraits these had been obtained from previous copies, and these again from still earlier ones, until it is evident that the presumed 'type' can only be a tradition. When, however, the individual depicted had lived in a less remote age, the type was a portrait painted directly from the sitter, interpreted, of course, according to the artist's ideas, but at the same time an extremely clever conventional representation of the man and his most striking characteristics. From this type-portrait careful tracings

were made on a special kind of transparent skin—the prototype of the tracing-paper of a later day. These tracings were executed in a brush outline, with the names of the different local colours in the original written neatly in their proper places. Each of these 'stencils' thus formed a very serviceable 'working-drawing,' from which the artist could make any number of duplicates. Large numbers of these prepared stencils formed an important part of the stock-in-trade of the Indian portrait painter, and they are often seen among collections of Mughal pictures. The outlines of many of these have been much damaged owing to perforations, as it was customary to 'pounce' from them in the process of duplication. But even with this disfigurement they show clear evidences of having been very carefully drawn. In some cases these tracings have survived without the perforations, and the firmness and freedom of the outline is remarkable. It may be observed that the wood-block, as a cheap but artistic form of reproduction, appears to have been unknown in India, although at this period wood engraving was a common art in Japan, so that the Mughal artist was forced to meet a demand for popular 'prints' by this system of stencils coloured by hand.

Not infrequently the Mughal portrait bears, either on the picture itself or on the border, the name of the person represented, written in Indian ink in Arabic characters. In the case of a group of figures it was not unusual to write the name of each individual in minute letters on the actual field of the picture, and near to the person indicated. Sometimes the names are to be found written on the reverse side of the picture, but the veracity of these inscriptions is not reliable. In this connexion it is strange to record the number of mistakes that occur

the passiveness of the Buddhist religion, it is founded on the restless energy of the Hindu pantheon. This is its fundamental idea, but it also embraces every aspect of Indian national life, and delves deeply into the fascinating folklore of the country. From this it will be seen that Rajput painting is largely a folk art, produced naturally by the people for their own pleasure and edification. It is a reflection of the simple life of the Indian villager, his work and play, his religious ceremonies, his busy life, and above all it mirrors the picturesque atmosphere of his creed. His art, therefore, resolves itself into two broad divisions, on the one hand representations of the everyday life of the ordinary Indian, and on the other pictures of that mythological and religious world which was the delight of his fancy and at the same time the backbone of his existence.

The former of these divisions may be considered first. No scene of everyday life appears to have been too commonplace for the brush of the Rajput artist. His productions are often not finished pictures, but clever outline sketches of familiar scenes of the bazaar, rapid impressions faithfully rendered, of the occupations of his fellow-craftsmen. Among his pictures one may see the carpet weaver, as he bends over his loom tying the intricate knots of coloured wool, which make up the pattern of the fabric. Around him are gathered all the implements of his craft, while added to these are his shoes, cast aside, so that he may manipulate with his toes the guide-string of the warp. Needless to say, these appliances of the loom are technically correct, for the trade was probably carried on day after day under the very eyes of the artist, as he sketched the things he saw before him from the front of his little house in the bazaar. The cotton planter, the embroiderer, the goldsmith, are all to be found depicted by his facile brush, and the



SCENE BY THE RIVER, KASERA VALLEY
(EIGHTEENTH CENTURY)

small subsidiary incidents, which he introduces into his sketches, show the observant nature and kindly feeling of the artist. We may remark in one of these pictures the son of the workman having his practice hand traced in the ancestral trade, a younger brother standing by in admiration of his elder's growing skill. In the background are two women, one of whom is lovingly dandling a fine baby, whose joyous crowings can be almost heard, as he endeavours to grasp his mother's large silver ear-ring. The other woman holds an older child by the hand, but, woman-like, is fondly sharing in the maternal pride of the one with the babe in her arms.

Another form of genre in which the Rajput painter excelled was the representation of ordinary incidents of the road. Before the present mechanical method of locomotion had been thought of, traveling in India was a very picturesque and leisurely affair. It is, however, so frequently portrayed in all its various aspects, both grave and gay, by the medieval artists of India, as to suggest that the population of the country was as fond of journeying by road in the past as it is by railway in the present. The mid-day rest, the camp fire by night, or the lengthy sojourn in the *serai*, were all made the subjects of pictures, and represented with a native sincerity, which adds not a little to their general charm. Plate 14 depicts what appears to be a mid-day halt by the roadside. A well under the cool shade of a banyan tree is the attraction, and the travellers are seen grouped around this welcome feature. The tired cooly in the foreground has dropped his load and is stretched in a careless attitude on his spread bedding, a fellow-labourer in the distance is also resting his weary frame. An armed attendant is gratefully receiving a draught of water from a woman at the well, while below a

menial servant is preparing a *koṣṭa* for the refreshment of his lord and master. This important individual is indolently reclining near at hand, and performing his toilet with the aid of a small hand-mirror. Two women are seated near, one of whom is fanning him and massaging his feet. This rustic scene by its unaffected and natural character gives the greatest of pleasure, and the small unhearsed and accidental incidents which gradually reveal themselves in this composition are very interesting. This example is of the Kangra *kaṁ*, an offshoot of the Rajput school, and is typical of the work of that style.

A not uncommon subject of the Rajput painter was a composition, which depended for its effect on the use of double lighting, such as a landscape illuminated by a combination of moonlight and firelight. Groups of figures under trees or near a hut, lit by the flickering flame of a log fire, with a distant landscape in darkness save for the glimmer of the crescent moon, were favourite motifs of this poetical painter—

Let me fetch a burning faggot and prepare a friendly light,
With these fallen withered branches chase the shadows of the night!

Book V, *Pañcraśa Mahatmya, Abubhadrā*.

And the artist obtained these difficult effects in the most convincing way, the blending of the two lights, the overlapping of the shadows and the mystery of the whole being very skillfully portrayed. In working out these schemes it was not unusual for the Rajput painter, before he commenced his picture, to 'prime' his paper with a coating of gold. Over this he laid his other pigments, and so secured a brilliancy in the lights and a luminous quality in the shadows which has rarely been equalled by any other artist in this medium. Japanese artists have

tried the same method, realising the value of gold as an underglaze in certain effects of an impressionist order, but the Rajput artists employed this process in their most finished productions. A silver priming was also used as a variation to this, especially in connexion with the representation of still water: over which lotus flowers and other aquatic plants were painted, but the result was hardly so successful as the gold.

As a direct descendant of the Buddhist school, it is only natural that Rajput painting should be an art of line. 'This vigorous archaic outline is the basis of its language. Wiry, distinct and sharp as that golden rule of art and life desired by Blake: sensitive, reticent, and tender, it perfectly reflects the severe self-control and sweet serenity of Indian life' (Coomaraswamy). At first sight the similarity between the Ajanta frescoes and the Rajput pictures may seem remote: the large-sized initial fresco of the one appear to be on a different plane from the miniature productions of the other. But the latter, if carefully examined, will be found to be but reduced examples of the older school, betraying the same general characteristics in many respects, and inspired and guided by the same fundamental principles in their religion and their art. A few specimens of Rajput work, which have survived, have even been executed on the same scale and in the same method as the Ajanta cave paintings—large frescoes adorning the walls of palaces in Upper India, and the stencils of these are still in existence. The bold, strong outline is similar in both styles, and the general treatment displays several qualities common to the two schools. The differences between these two forms of expression are more apparent than real, the Buddhist artist by circumstance having the great stretch of levelled rock for his field, and

the crowd of devotees, who thronged the pillared hall, were the public to whom his art appealed. Then the Buddhist monastery with its ample chaitya halls passed away, and in its place arose the Hindu shrine, comprising a receptacle for the deity, but room for little more. For the Rajput painter, therefore, no expanse of wall surface presented itself, the architecture of his time did not allow of large plain spaces for the reception of his handicraft. A different creed prevailed, and with it certain external changes had taken place in the trend of national life. There was no really deep-seated alteration: the under-current remained practically the same, but the outward manifestations and ritual had become moulded with the rise of the reformed Hinduism of mediæval times. And so we find the national art modified to conform to the new conditions that were established in India after the Buddhist decline. These new conditions were bound up in the *Purānas*, and the legends of the divinities of the latter Hindu pantheon, to which Parvati and Shiva take the most popular place. With these as the central features of the religion, it naturally follows that they became the dominant figures in art, an art which, in painting, took the form of small folio pictures, easily preserved and readily handled.

Further, much of the Rajput painting may be described as epic, taking as its themes incidents from the *Rāmāyana* and the *Mahābhārata*, those two classical poems which through all the ages have been to Indian life what the warp is to the woof, the basis of the people's spiritual fabric. Thus many of the pictures of this school are collections of illustrations depicting all the dramatic incidents of these epics, whole series of paintings, in connected sequence, recording the doings of the ancient deities, kings, and heroes, who were the principal characters in these poetical

narratives. On the reverse side of the paintings is usually written the title and description of the subject, a contrast to the Mughal miniatures where, although a long description on the reverse is sometimes artistically illuminated, this rarely has the remotest connexion with the picture. The reason for this anomaly is that the Mughal picture is often the production of two separate artists, the painter and the calligraphist. To these may be added a third craftsman, the mounter, who takes the works of the two former and combines them in one composite work of art, the painting on one side, the beautiful writing on the other. To not a few of the connoisseurs of the time the latter was considered the premier art.

A large proportion of the pictures illustrating the religious beliefs of this period were mainly Vaishnavite in purport, and specially dealt with Krishna-worship, this being the more popular creed. Vishnu's incarnation as Krishna provided a personal god, which to the ordinary Hindu mind was nearer and clearer than the vague manifestations of the Supreme Power, although the presence of a spiritual being underlies every form of Hinduism. And as the Buddha was the central figure of the Buddhist creed, and the stories of his birth and life and death were for centuries the subject of the Buddhist artist's brush, so in the course of time arose Krishna, the hero of innumerable tales and legends, to stir the hearts of the people and afford a fund of picturesque material for the miniatures of the Rajput painter.

Krishna, therefore, in all his varied characters, in every act and deed, is the central figure in much of the Rajput art, and some of the best work at the school gathers around the story of this versatile deity. His humaneness specially appealed to the ordinary mind of the common

people, he shared in their joys and sorrows, their work and play, their village and field life, and, in his love for animals, especially for the cow, he struck a note which drew at once the sympathy of the agriculturist, a community comprising the greater portion of the Indian people. In Rajput pictures the treatment of animal life is in great contrast with the contemporary Mughal school. Where the latter, almost without exception, deals with the materialistic aspect of the subject, the hunting of wild beasts, the fighting of rams, deer, or elephants, the Rajput painter dignifies these creatures by giving them the outward forms of his deities, and they thus become his animal friends, his dumb helpers in times of trouble. And no other Indian artist could draw these with the feeling that the Rajput painter gave them; he realised the almost human qualities that certain animals possessed, and, working on these, produced composite beings raised considerably above the ordinary conception of the beast of the field or forest. What could be finer than his representation of Hanuman, the monkey-god, and his faithful followers, the sense of devotion that characterises this simian tribe, humbly aspiring by virtue of its good deeds to the higher plane? The ludicrous ape is ignored, and we are presented with man's presumed prototype, elevated into his colleague, engaged in the noble act of overthrowing the wrong-doer and establishing righteousness. But the outward form is there, the Hanuman of the Rajput picture is no pantomime creature, his limbs and shape are conventionally correct, but clothed, crowned and exalted into a sacred thing.

And in the same manner is the Rajput painter's treatment of cattle, the favourite adjuncts of Krishna. When the artist represented realistic scenes of rural life, his animal drawing indicated a knowledge of nature surpassed only by

the Japanese. Occasionally these realistic sketches found their way untouched into the backgrounds of his religious compositions, but as a rule his pictures of divine subjects are produced with a sense of reverence which dominates the entire scheme. The group of cows, beautifully drawn, the leaders richly caparisoned, passes slowly along; this movement, so characteristic of 'the lowing herd,' is faithfully rendered, breathing gentleness and peace as it progresses across the picture.

The other field of Rajput art is in the realms of Shivaism, and we are presented with many pictures dedicated to this aspect of Hinduism. A typical example is Plate 15, the subject of which is the 'Dance of Shiva' (*Nandhyā Gāyatri*). In the centre is Shiva, the leopard skin with which the god is clothed swirling around him with the vigour of his action. On a lotus throne sits the Sakti, gazing at the beauty of her own form in the mirror held before her by attendants. In the foreground is a group of musicians, playing on a variety of instruments, some of these of a very interesting type. The left of the picture is occupied by a divine chorus, all the deities in different ways doing homage to their lord. In the distance is a conventional landscape of snow-clad peaks, crowned with rolling clouds in which are angels distributing offerings of flowers. This picture is of the Kangra *skola*, and painted about the end of the eighteenth century, or somewhat later. It has been a brilliant scheme of colour, but is now faded and much of its original effect has disappeared. But traces of its beauty still remain, including the colours of some of the costumes, the blue of the distant foothills and the rich golden glow of the whole.

Unlike the Mughal, the Rajput artist was not by inclination a portrait painter, but probably owing to the fashion

set by the Mughal emperors, he was responsible for a considerable number of likenesses of a very interesting type. There are two distinct styles of Rajput portraits—those of the Kangra *skam* and those of the Jeypore *skam*. Of the former *skam* Plate 16 is a characteristic example, while Plate 17 illustrates the latter style of work. Neither have the rich glowing effect or vigorous spirit of the Mughal portrait, but they have much to commend them on account of their consummate sincerity.

The Jeypore portraits are severely conventional in their intention, usually rigidly in profile, and the colour is simple almost to timidity. Most of these portraits are in outline, as if unfinished. It is difficult to explain the large proportion of incomplete work in this *skam*. Again the most noticeable feature of these sketches is the outline, sharp and clear, fine as a hair, but palpitating with feeling and sensitiveness. It is not uncommon to find pictures of this *skam* exhibiting every quality of a 'silver point,' so delicate and refined is the drawing. In these examples the subject was first sketched in black outline on the paper, and over this was painted a fairly thick priming of white. Through this semi-opaque glaze the original scheme could be faintly seen, and the design was then re-drawn over the first lines in a delicate grey line by means of a very fine brush. Any colour that was considered necessary to finish off this drawing was washed on in a few light tints, although the effect aimed at by the artist was not one of colour, but a grisaille on an ivory ground. ~

The Kangra portraits are of a different character, brighter in colour, showing a certain feeling for modelling and light and shade, softer in treatment, but nevertheless observing the same underlying principles as the Jeypore work. Apart from representations of local rajas and the lesser

nobility of the line, posing at richly-adorned windows or reclining on gaily-litèd mats, often smoking a *shikha*, there was another more religious aspect of this portraiture. The saint, or *sādā*, was not infrequently a subject for the Kangra *kālm*, seated beneath a tree or beside a shrine, silent and alone, or perhaps talking to some devout listener who is reverently drinking in his words. Pictures of the emperors and princes of the Mughal line were also the work of the Kangra artists' adaptable nature, probably to supply a popular local demand for likenesses of those royal personages.

Miniature paintings illustrating the group of Indian musical compositions, known as the *Rāg-Mālā*, were often produced by the Pahari artist, and this combination of the two arts of painting and music is of special interest on account of the wide field which a study of this 'visualised music' opens up. It emphasises, among other things, the peculiar position that the arts generally occupied in the culture of the people, and the close relation that existed between the different forms of artistic expression. The *Rāg-Mālā* is a collection of forty-two allied melodies, known and at once recognised by all educated Hindus. Each of these melodies is understood to be a musical description of a certain pictorial composition, or, as the idea is reversible, each music-picture is a coloured interpretation of the particular melody with which it is associated. In other words, the musician plays from a picture, and the artist paints from a tune. And the Rajput artists, especially the Pahari painters, made great use of this art, a large number of paintings in this style being illustrations of the various melodies comprising the *Rāg-Mālā*.

In other directions, too, the Rajput painters worked in

conjunction with the sister arts, such as poetry and the drama, and many of the pictures of this school depict subjects taken from the Indian classical writings. Figures of ideal types, as, for instance, the *Nāyakas* or hero-lovers, were designed by the Pahari artist of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, and denote that this art had its romantic aspect. In the majority of the examples, however, the 'Lover and the Beloved' take the form of Krishna and Radha respectively, romance, passion and religion being symbolised in the person of these popular divinities.

MATERIALS AND TECHNIQUE

ALTHOUGH records are naturally scanty regarding the technical details of Indian painting in the Early Period, there is a certain amount of material from which some idea may be gained of the process by which the primitive artists obtained their results. As in all countries, the first 'brush-forms' made by the hand of man were executed in hematite, a pigment obtained from red oxide of iron. The shaded portions were produced by the application of a darkened mixture of the same paint. What brushes were used by the prehistoric artists of India is not known, as none of these have survived, but the fairly delicate details in some of the drawings denote a comparatively fine implement. It has been suggested that the hematite was probably mixed with animal fat and laid on with a fibrous brush, while the outlines were executed with a pointed stick (Cockburn, *J.A.S.B.*, 1883). Prehistoric palettes are plentiful, smooth slabs of stone on which the colours were ground and prepared for use. The number of these that have been found on neolithic sites indicates that primitive painting was a comparatively common art. It is clear that brush-forms of a pictorial character were the principal means of communication in the days before any script was known.

At a much later date, in the Jogmāra cave at Ramgarh, the artist's palette consists of three distinct pigments—red, white, and black. The red colour is hematite, the white

is obtained from an earth which is common in the locality, while the black is evidently an extract of myrobalans (*terminalia chebula*). This dried fruit has been used as a dye in India from the earliest times, and, in combination with a salt of iron, produces an excellent black.

As regards brushes, there is the following reference in the *Upnishads*, a treatise the greater portion of which dates from a very distant period :

'Let a man with firmness separate the spirit,
the inner soul, from his own body, as from a
painter's brush a slice.' - *6th Valli, 17.*

This expression, which is a curiously technical one, may indicate that the early painters did not employ hair for the manufacture of their brushes, but might have made them of fine vegetable fibre.

The surface of the walls in the Jagimara cave shows few signs of being specially prepared for the purpose, much of the painting being executed directly on to the roughly-chiselled rock. Some portions, however, had been 'primed' with a layer of plaster about the thickness of an eggshell, but this made little improvement in the general surface, owing to the uneven dressing of the walls.

The process of the Buddhist frescos appears to have been the same in all the examples that have survived. Over the surface of the rough excavated wall of rock a mixture of clay, cow-dung, and pulverised trap rock was applied, to the thickness of one-eighth to three-quarters of an inch. Sometimes this first dressing also contained finely-chopped straw or rice husks. This ground was then coated with an exceedingly thin layer of white plaster, about the thickness of, and in some senses resembling, an eggshell. On this polished shell-like surface the frescos were painted in water-colour.

As to the actual process employed in the application of the pigment there is a considerable difference of opinion. It was either true fresco (the *fresco buono* of the Italian artists), or a combination of this method and tempera painting (*fresco a secco*). Efforts have also been made to prove that the process was, almost entirely, that of tempera. *Fresco buono*, as an art, was practised in Europe before the Christian era, Vitruvius and Pliny both making fairly detailed references to it. It consists of preparing a plaster ground, and, while this is still damp, applying the colour in broad effects. The process is necessarily a rapid one, as the painting must be completed before the plaster has time to dry. Only a certain portion of the work in hand can be undertaken at a time, and only that amount of plaster surface, as can be covered by the artist in the time at his disposal, need be prepared. That which is unpainted at the end of the day is cut away up to the painted part, and relaid the following day or the next occasion on which the artist is ready to continue his painting. It is often possible in the historic frescos of Europe to distinguish where the joining-up process took place, although it is true that the older plasterers were sufficiently expert to make the joints in the surface so well as to be invisible after hundreds of years. It may be remarked that no system of joining or 'piece-surface' is observable in the old Buddhist paintings of India. Further, to hold the moisture properly for the purpose of applying the pigments, the layer of plaster should be at least a quarter of an inch thick. This *intonaco*, or final coat, in the Sigiriya paintings is a quarter to half an inch thick; at Ajanta it is as thin as an eggshell. One of the earliest paintings, in Cave 9, was executed on a plaster ground one thirty-second of an inch thick, applied directly on the rock and polished like porcelain. In hot climates

This *intonaco* should ordinarily be thicker in order to allow for evaporation due to the abnormal drying character of the atmosphere. In the case of the quasi-frescos of the Etruscans, which date before the Christian era, the dampness of the rock was enough to keep the stucco skin moist, and so allow the necessary infiltration of colour from the surface. The coating of plaster on which the Egyptian and Mesopotamian paintings were executed was too thin to have lent itself to true fresco treatment. The method employed by the artists of these two countries was that of tempera, the same process which, it is suggested, was utilised by the Buddhist painters of India. Tempera, or *fresco secco*, is a method of lime painting on a plaster surface that has been allowed to dry. This dry surface of plaster is thoroughly drenched the night before with water, to which a little lime or baryta water has been added, and the wetting is renewed the next morning. On the dampened surface thus obtained the artist stakes his painting with the same pigments as used in *fresco buono*, but mixed with lime or baryta water, or with a little slaked lime. Compared with true fresco, tempera is heavy and opaque in its results, while, although of great durability, as is exemplified by the ancient Egyptian wall paintings, it has not the chemical permanence of the *fresco buono*. The pigment of the *buono* sinks into, and becomes incorporated with, the plaster, and thus forms actually part of the ground composition, while the *secco* can never be anything but a layer of pigment lying on the top of the prepared surface of the wall. There is certainly considerable evidence to show that the early Buddhist mural paintings were not, as a whole, true fresco, as this process is ordinarily understood, but it is quite possible that it was a modification of this method, devised and put into practice by the artists

of the period. On the other hand, the opacity of the colours in some respects, as well as their impermanence in others, besides the composition of the prepared gesso, tends to support the theory that the so-called frescos are, after all, mural paintings executed in tempera.

The ground of these ancient paintings having been prepared, the artist then proceeded to sketch out his composition in a bold red line-drawing on the white plaster. Although this first sketch was evidently drawn in by an experienced hand, it was subsequently corrected in many places with a strong black or brown line when the final drawing was added. The system is so similar in this particular respect to the process employed by the early Egyptians that it may be considered identical; in this case also the first red outlines were drawn or traced by the painter, and afterwards checked by the master-artist with a vigorous black line. After the Buddhist painter had drawn out his scheme in red, he proceeded to apply over this a thinish semi-transparent terra cotta monochrome, through which his original outline could be seen. This system of under-glazing is very similar to that practised by the Italians in their early fresco work. Over this preliminary glaze the Indian artist worked in his local colour—reds, yellows, browns, and blacks, 'suggestively laid in with solid brush strokes—the flesh not unlike some examples of modern French painting.' Afterwards came 'a strengthening of the outlines with blacks and browns, giving great definition, but also a certain flatness; last, a little shading if necessary. There is not very much definite light and shade modelling, but there is great definition, given by the use of contrasting local colour and of emphatic blacks and whites' (Harrington).

We have no knowledge of the kind of brush that the

Buddhist painter used, but the composition of some of his pigments has been ascertained. The true fresco painter is limited in his palette, as the pigments have to be capable of resisting the decomposing action of lime, and must consequently be extracted from natural earths. But it is possible that the Indian artist, like the Egyptian, was allowed a wider range of colours on account of his particular process appertaining more to the tempera method than that of fresco. He was, therefore, able to use purples, pinks, and greens, which would have been destroyed by fresh lime. The various shades of red at Ajanta and Bagh are ferruginous in origin, while the green pigment seems to be entirely composed of a finely powdered silicate containing iron. The white is largely sulphate of lime, no zinc, baryta, or lead being detectable. The blue has all the appearance of ultramarine, and the yellow is believed to be orpiment, a natural arsenic sulphide.

From the period of the Buddhist cave paintings to the comparatively modern Rajput pictures of the eighteenth century is a long interval, nevertheless these two schools of painting are closely connected by their technique. In other respects, too, the relationship between the two arts is undoubtedly discernible, but in the matter of process and execution the two styles are nearly identical. Although the Rajput pictures are almost entirely miniatures, it is apparent that they represent, fundamentally, mural paintings adapted to the smaller form of art. As in the Buddhist paintings, the composition was first sketched out in a red outline, only in this case on a prepared sheet of paper instead of the plastered wall. Over this was laid a white priming, semi-transparent, through which the red line could be more or less distinctly seen. The outline was then re-drawn in black, often freely modifying the original red sketch.

The final colour is next applied as a glaze over the priming, and the details are then painted in. It is not unusual to see, in incomplete pictures, the background entirely finished while the central figures are untouched. This is due to the fact of the painting being the work of two artists, an assistant having been employed on the accessories, and the figure reserved for the more skilful hand of the master-craftsman. In some cases the white priming is omitted, the painting being executed directly on to the surface of the paper; corrections are then made by means of white colour, and the same pigment is likewise the basis of other essential features of the colour scheme.

The Mughal miniatures, more than any other art, illustrate the amount of care that the Oriental artist expended in the preparation of his materials. It is hardly necessary to add that most of these materials were the productions of his own hands, or manufactured under his direct supervision. This particularly applies to the paper, which he selected and adapted to his purpose with considerable knowledge and skill. During the Mughal period, India had a reputation for several kinds of paper, which were much sought after by artists and calligraphers. The principal qualities were *kariri* (sometimes alluded to as *reshmiri*), a silk paper, but having the defect of cracking in the course of time, and *Daulatabadi*, the production of the town of Daulatabad, in the dominions of the Nizam. The ordinary paper of the country was also widely used, under the name of *Hindi*. Later *Sialkoti* paper had a great vogue, being made at Sialkot, in the Punjab. In the South of India a paper called *Mughali* was preferred, which indicates an association with the Northern school, while another favourite paper made in Mysore was referred to as *kardec*. These papers were prepared from three products (a) bamboo, and

called *barzab* or *Maner* paper. (*é*) *tal*, or jute, and known as *talab*, and (*é*) *tala*, or cotton, which went by the name of *talot* paper. Added to these was a quality referred to as *masi*, which was prepared from flax. Two foreign kinds of paper were sometimes used by Indian painters, being known as *Iraní* and *Íspáhání*, which denote that the Persian article was preferred by some. None of these papers were white, most of them being of a light buff tint. Having selected one of these that suited his purpose, the artist proceeded to paste two or more sheets together to provide a substantial ground for his work. In book illustration only one thickness was used. The surface on which his painting was to appear first received his attention. This he carefully polished with a piece of rounded agate, until it assumed an enamel-like smoothness. The painter then began to paint his picture on this prepared surface, and carried this out in the manner of the Rajput paintings already described. The first outline was always made with Indian red (*gáridár*), which, being unmixcd with any adherent, was easily removed. Lampblack was the pigment used in finishing the outline drawing, and was made by burning camphor-wick in a mustard-oil lamp. The colours were often prepared by the hands of the painter himself, according to his own special formulae. They were extracts of various plants and minerals—madder was made from sheldae, burnt sienna from *Acacia* leaves, yellow was *Multani masli*, or earth of Multan, etc.—but a list of these will be found at the end of this chapter. These pigments were most judiciously selected, besides being carefully ground and mixed, and the test of time has proved their remarkable permanence.

One aspect of the Mughal school of painting was the system of employing the artist to make several copies of

his compositions, these being prepared evidently at the order of different patrons, and all executed from some standard original. Several reproductions of the same picture are, therefore, not unusual, often by the same hand, but sometimes the work of an inferior craftsman. To meet this demand an artist was accustomed to keep by him certain working drawings as a kind of stock-in-trade. These working drawings were generally in the form of tracings, which, while giving the complete outline, were also amplified by the local colour being indicated with touches of the pigment in the places where this was to be applied. In some cases the name of each colour was microscopically written on the tracing instead of the small colour note. From this it may be realised that a proper method of tracing any drawing was an important part of the artist's training. Collections of these tracings are very common, and were kept in considerable quantities by the artists, being bequeathed from father to son as valuable trade heirlooms. The tracing paper, called *charho*, was prepared from deer skin. The transfer was obtained by packing the outline and then 'putting' with powdered charcoal.

The traditional spacing, or 'lay-out,' of a Mughal or Rajput picture may be considered here. In the first place, the panel forming the central portion of the scheme was the picture proper, and was the work of the superior artist. The mounting and border were the work of another individual, who carried on this particular handicraft as a separate art. This panel, or *taswir*, is frequently placed slightly out of the centre of the whole conception, generally to one side, a little touch of informality that gives the picture a special charm. The border itself, which is ultimately added to the *taswir*, is called *hāsāhā*. Where the *taswir* joins on to the *hāsāhā*, a narrow decorated

band and two lines of colour are usually placed, the former is known as *phulkari*, or *bale*, while the two latter are termed *jarai* or *khut*. *Phulkari* implies that this band is decorated with isolated flowers only, but *bale* indicates that a running pattern is introduced. The main breadth of the *dhātū* is ordinarily ornamented with a spotted gold effect, the gold when applied in regular patches being referred to as *tikki*, from *tika*, the caste mark applied to the foreheads of all Hindus. A smaller sprinkled gold pattern is known as *shafak*, while a finely-powdered gold effect is the *puṣṭa*, both being common methods of illuminating the borders of Mughal pictures. The speckled gold effect is obtained in two ways, either by sprinkling on the gold paint while wet from the bristles of a stiff brush, or powdering it on from a pouncing bag, the ground having been previously prepared with a thin wash of rice water. When the *dhātū* is closely covered with sprays of flowers, as is the richest form of bordering, the artist gives it the name of *shar*.

Brushes (*ḍūḍū*) were made from the hair of various animals, such as the goat, camel, squirrel, and mongoose. Very fine brushes were much in vogue, as the minute character of the painting plainly shows, some of the finest being prepared from the downy hairs on the tails of young squirrels. In Ceylon the finest work was executed with brushes made of the awns of the *tili tara* grass (*axillifera adscensionis*), which are specially suited for this purpose. These may be the fibre referred to in the prehistoric work.

Some of the details of the processes employed by the Mughal artists are of considerable interest. One style of painting, known as *jarai*, consisted in encrusting parts of the picture with real pearls and precious stones, these embellishments being applied to the head ornaments,

draperies and other ornamental accessories. Several uses were made of water only, without the admixture of colour, this method being referred to as *abhis*. For instance, a sketch was sometimes drawn in with a brush charged with pure water only; when dry, this leaves a watermark impression which acts as a guide for future work. A very delicate shade is said to have been obtained by the Kashmiri painters, who allowed water to stand until it had completely evaporated, thus depositing a slight sediment. This sediment was then used as a background tint to faces, and gave a faint but very charming tone to the picture. Water was, of course, the principal medium through which all the pigments were applied, but with this certain fixatives were mixed, such as gum, ghte, sugar (*gar*) and linseed water. Although painting on plaster or paper is the ordinary process employed by the Indian artist, a certain amount of painting on cloth or canvas appears to have been executed at different times. The Emperor Humayun commissioned for his State Library a stupendous copy of the *Hariva* *Nāṣāh*, executed on cotton cloth, many pages of which are still to be seen in European museums. Other pictures on cloth have been occasionally forthcoming, but it is apparent the art in this form never found great favour in India. As far as oil painting is concerned this medium was not generally appreciated, and it is chronicled that the Emperor Jehangir, being shown two European pictures, summarily rejected one, because being 'in oyle he liked it not.' Examples of an oil medium being employed on a type of canvas of some considerable age occasionally come to light, notably in Southern India, but all of these pictures are traceable to Occidental influence.

The modern school of painting, in the form of the New Indian Art Movement, produces its effects in a rather

unusual method of water-colour. The paper employed is a good quality of 'cartridge,' which is not stretched or mounted, but is merely placed loosely on a drawing board. The subject is first sketched in with pencil, and then the somewhat laborious process of painting begins. European pigments are ordinarily utilised, but efforts have been made to revive the ancient palette of the Rajput and Mughal artists, with some success. Outlining the drawing by means of a brown colour, the artist then proceeds to wash on his general scheme, alternately washing down and glazing until eventually he secures the effect desired. During this process the outline drawing is every now and again emphasised and picked out with a fine brush, but the soft harmonious result is mainly produced by repeated applications of colour, washed down and then glazed, this process being continued until the requisite colour scheme is obtained. Details and accessories are touched in with white and gold, after which the picture is complete. This method, or variations of it, is the one usually employed, but some of the more versatile members of the school have their own special technique, such as painting on a gilt ground or in white on a tinted paper, which need no special reference.



APPENDIX

LIST OF INDIAN PIGMENTS

- White . . . A native kind (*verruke*) from Kashmir.
- Black . . . Lampblack (*karai*); it is generally collected from smothered stumps or reeds placed over burning oil lamps.
- Red . . . Indian red (*Asomani*) and red ochre (*grew*) are both oxides of iron found plentifully in Baluchistan. Very useful pigments.
- Vermilion . . . Coarse vermilion (*shakargul*).
- Lake . . . Prepared from lac.
- Blue . . . Ultramarine from powdered lapis lazuli (*lazurite*); indigo (*nil*).
- Yellow . . . Orpiment, a sulphide of arsenic (*karai*), a soft appearance earth (*Mallani sariti*); Indian yellow, oxalochloride of magnesium (*phorai*); extract of the flowers of the dhaké butter tree (*shahi phari*).
- Greens . . . Powdered verdigris (*jangal, amgar*); indigo and orpiment; sea olive green (*seae*); marine green (marine being the poisonous marine); water-soluble green (*sharhai*); various proportions of lampblack, orpiment, and indigo are used. The beautiful terra-vette underglaze of the Buddhist paintings appears to have been obtained from an earth which is silicate of protoxide of iron; this colour is known as *gang rabe*.
- Purples . . . Vermilion and indigo mixed; a dark purple was obtained by mixing lampblack and *Asomani*, the latter being a brownish ochre found in the island of Hormuz, in the Persian Gulf.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- King, R. and Arnold.—
The Court Palaces of the Grand Moghls, Oxford University Press, 1921.
- Brown (Marcy)—
Indian Painting Under the Moghals, Clarendon Press, 1924.
- Courajewswamy (Dr. A. K.).—
Art and Craft of India and Ceylon, Poona, 1913.
Indian Drawings, India Society.
 First series, 1910.
 Second series, 1912.
Selected Examples of Indian Art, 1911.
Rajput Painting, Oxford University Press, 1926.
The Mirror of Gesture, Oxford University Press, 1917.
- Gifford (J.).—
Paintings of Ajanta, Secretary of State for India, 1867.
- Howell (E. R.).—
Indian Sculpture and Painting, Murray, 1896.
Handbook of Indian Art, Murray, 1920.
- Herrington (Lady)—
Ajanta Frescoes, Oxford University Press, 1915.
- Marcot and Vexet.—
Miniatures Persanes, Paris, 1873.
- Martin (P. R.).—
Miniature Painting and Posters of Persia, India and Turkey,
 Quarterly, 1912.
- Mehta (N. C.).—
Studies in Indian Painting, Bombay, 1926.
- Smith (Vincent A.).—
A History of Fine Art in India and Ceylon, Clarendon Press,
 1911.

Magazine Articles

- Hurlington Magazine*—Nos. 5 and 9, 1913—
 Muslim Manuscripts at the Paris Exhibition, by E. Howton
- Burlington Magazine*, March, 1912—
 Rajput Paintings, by Dr. Courajewswamy.

Gazette des Beaux-Arts, 1887—

Les Miniatures des Manuscrits Musulmans, by E. Moquet.

Modern Review, December, 1913, and January and February, 1914—

The Classic Art of Ajanta, by Sarawendra Nath Gupta.

Nineteenth Century, February, 1910—

Mughal School of Painting, by Percy Brown.

Orientalisches Archiv, October, 1912—

Mughal Portraiture, by Dr. Coomaraswamy.

Orientalische Zeitschrift, July, 1912—

Rajput Painting, by Dr. Coomaraswamy.

Asiatic Journal of Oriental Art, Calcutta.

PRINCIPAL COLLECTIONS OF INDIAN PAINTINGS

Public Collections

India—

Indian Museum, Calcutta. (Generally representative collection.)

Victoria Memorial Collection, Calcutta. (Mainly historical.)

State Library, Bangalore.

State Library, Jaipur.

Prince of Wales Museum, Bombay.

Rhinda Bekit Library, Bankipur. (Largely illustrative.)

Central Museum, Lahore. (Representative collection, but specimens in historical portraits rare.)

Museum of Archaeology, Delhi. (Historical portraits.)

Bhuri Singh Museum, Chandigarh, Punjab. (Portraits and mythological subjects in the Kangra *style*.)

School of Arts, Madras. (Small but interesting series of portraits in the style of the South of India.)

Palace of Tanjore

Palace of Pondicherry | Portraits in the Southern Indian style.

The Natar Collection, Calcutta.

London—

British Museum. (Miscellaneous.)

Victoria and Albert Museum, South Kensington. (Miscellaneous.)

John Allen. (Miscellaneous.)

Oxford—

Radcliff Library.

Continental—

- Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris. (Large collection of Miscellaneous paintings.)
 Ethnographical Museum, Berlin.
 Bibliothèque de Pétersbourg.
 Musée Asiatique de Pétersbourg.
 Imperial Library, Vienna.

America—

- Metropolitan Museum, New York.
 Museum of Fine Arts, Boston.
 Field Columbian Museum, Chicago.
 National Museum, Washington.

Private Collections*India—*

- His Highness the Maharaja of Bardwan.
 His Highness the Maharaja of Benares.
 His Highness the Nawab of Rampur.
 Mr. G. N. Tagore, Calcutta.
 Baba Saaram Lal, Benares.
 Mr. P. C. Mazumdar, Bankipore.
 Mr. J. C. French, L. C. S.
 Raja Bahadur D. H. Parasnis, Satara.

England—

- Sir Hercules Reed.
 Mrs. Lapling Row.
 Col. Hoodley C. I. E.
 Prof. Robertson.
 Mr. Dyson Perrins.

Paris—

- M. Guichard.
 M. Clavier.
 Baron Maurice Rothschild.
 M. Veyer.
 M. Viguer.
 M. Marteau.

INDEX

- A**
ABANINDRA Nach Tagore, 72, 75
 Abul-Samad the scholar, 19
 Achaia, 121
 Abul-Fazl, 49, 49
 Agra, 57, 84
 Abu-Isfahani, 11, 12, 49, 88
 Ajanta, 21, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 39, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 54, 68, 71, 72, 75, 76, 79, 87, 88, 99, 105, 113, 116
 Ajitapura, 87
 Akbar (Emperor), 42, 47, 49, 49, 50, 57, 96
 Alexander the Great, 45
 Amravati, 32, 46
 Amrithsar, 62, 66
 Amroha, 79
 Andhra, 12
 Andrea del Castagno, 13
 Anglo-Drabara, 62
 Aniruddha, 29
 Ankhor (Saur), 21
 Anli, 57, 97
 Asoka (Emperor), 21, 40
 Aurangzeb, 63
 Aurangzeb, 47, 52, 53, 57, 63, 90, 95, 98
 Aurignavina, 16
 Avalokitesvara, 73
- B**
BAHAK (Emperor), 48, 50, 50, 50, 80
 Bagh, 28, 36, 37, 39, 39, 91, 116
 Bagher, *see* *Bagh*, 26, 36, 45, 46
 Bagawat, 49
 Bahadur, 59
 Bengal, 42, 45, 58, 62, 85
 Bengali, 65
- Bernier Francis, 90
 Betul (one of Bahublika) 34
 Bhagwati, 82
 Bharhut, 32
 Bharat, 22
 Bihar, 43, 52, 96
 Bikaner, 48, 86
 Bikaner, 55
 Bikaner, 31, 41
 Binyon, 27
 Birgala, 41
 Black, 103
 Bodhisattva, Avalokitesvara, 73
 Bodhisattvas, 45, 72
 Bonolian, 9
 Book Illustrations, 41
 Borobudur, 21, 35, 42
 Bopy, 51, 90
 Boudinell, 73
 Boudha, 19
 Bradman, 19
 Bradman, 32, 43
 Buddha, 20, 38, 40, 72, 76, 87
 Buddhahood, 73
 Buddhahood, 31, 42
 Buddhism, 20, 32, 35, 38, 50, 41
 Buzna, 25, 41
- C**
CALLIGRAPHIC, 82
 Calligraphist, 105, 117
 Carpa* *see* *Carpa*, 106
 Carriage paper, 122
 Central Asian, 45, 47
 Central India, 25
 Central Provinces, 15, 17
 Ceylon, 25, 26, 30, 38, 87, 120
 Chairyas, 104
 Chamba, 58, 59
 Chamba, 76

Changai Kham, 85
 China, 23, 26, 27, 84
 Chinese, 5, 11, 14, 28, 41, 15, 85
 Chiaroscuro, 31, 35
Chitrakavya, 20
 Chitrakala, 75
 Chitraru, 45
 Christianity, 31, 86
Chitrakalam, 25
 Ciekdoma, 111
 Cingal (Siam), 16
 Colours, 87
 Comets, 7
 Compendium, 1-65
 Council, 74
 Crystal, 71

DANIAN-CHUO, 55
 Darsan, 40
 Dastakard, 63, 117
 Daxun, 54, 52, 58, 62, 63
 Daxun, 12
 Daxun Kham, 12, 52, 56
 Delhi, 52, 57, 61, 64, 85, 87
 Delhi *khajur*, 21, 52, 55, 86
 Deming's, 40
 Deyas, 40, 41
 Deyas, 41
 Dharmapala, 41
 Dharmapala, 60
 Dharmapala, 11
 Dharmapala, 27
 Dhot Museum, 115
 Dhot Museum, 32
Dhot Museum, 11

EASTERN (Eastern school), 66
 Eastern school, 41
 Eastern Turkestan, 44
 Egyptian, 71, 114, 115, 116
 Egyptian, 49
 Eilat, 42, 43
 England, 80
 Epic Age, 19
 Ericsons, 114
 European, 31, 61, 67, 86, 121, 122
 European art schools, 67

FAMIAN, 28, 38
 Famian, 29
 Farrukh the Kalans, 49
 Finger painting, 78
 Florence, 87
 Florence, 8, 100
 French painting, 115
Fresco painting, 1, 3, 114
Fresco painting, 113, 114

GANDHARA (Gandara school), 34
 Gandara, 26
 Gandara school, 35
 Gandara, 64
 Gandara, 101
 Gandara, 78
 Gandara, 66
 Gandara Age, 25
 Gandara, 64
 Gandara, 78
 Gandara Department, 60
 Gandara Department, 'The', 73
 'Greater Vehicle' (*Mahayana*), 38
 Gandara school, 34
 Gandara, 73
 Gandara, 77
 Gandara, 55
 Gandara, 54, 88
 Gandara, 34, 35
 Gandara, 30
 Gandara (Tibet), 20, 88

HANMATEE, 16, 111
 Hanmatee, 37
 Hanmatee, 92, 93
 Hanmatee, 121
 Hanmatee, 30, 78
 Hanmatee, 106
 Hanmatee, 44
 Hanmatee, 47
 Hanmatee (Lady), 115
 Hanmatee, 15
 Hanmatee, 59
 Hanmatee, 33, 39
 Hanmatee (Latter Vehicle), 38
 Hanmatee, 46, 59, 63, 88, 89, 100, 105, 109

Himānā, 8, 38, 55, 90, 104, 105, 107.
 Hinduism, 11, 52, 58, 59, 65, 108.
 Hivesy Tsang, 26, 38, 39.
 Hokusai, 6.
 Hoolam, 15.
 Hori-ji, 27.
 Hsieh, 26, 33.
 Hsueh, 30.
 Hui-ch'ang (Hsueh), 38, 63.

INDOGRYLLIC, 82

Iran, X, 35.
 Indo-Aryan, 39.
 Indo-European, 47, 81, 102.
 Indo-Tibetan, 47.
 Indologists, 98.
 Indology, 113, 114.
 Iran, 49, 85, 118.
 Iranian *kafo*, 81, 82, 84.
 Isidori, 15.
 Italy, 72, 83.
 Italian Fascist, 77, 113, 114.
 Ivory, 61, 65.

JAINA book-illustrations, 41, 56

Jalgaon, 23.
 Japan, 58.
 Japan, 5, 25, 27.
 Japanese, 9, 65, 102, 107.
 Japanese art, 5.
 Jeddah, 61, 129.
 Jeddah, 81, 72, 76.
 Jews, 21, 25, 42.
 Jews, 33.
 Jinhua (Kempson), 47, 48, 50, 51, 83, 94, 85, 99, 106, 121.
 Jishi, 36.
 Jeypore, 55, 57, 108.
 Jeypore *kafo*, 12, 57, 85, 86, 106.
 Jharkhand, 55.
 Jinnah, 15, 16, 111, 112.
 Joint Company, 52, 62.

KALAH, 12

Kalate Range, 15.
 Kalata, 61.
 Kalou, 12, 54, 85, 108, 120.
 Kaula, 76.

Kangra, 58, 63, 108, 109.
 Kangra *kafo*, 12, 54, 63, 102, 107, 108, 109.
 Kambhaja, 72.
 Kapilavastu, 38.
 Kapur Singh, 62.
 Karatal, 70.
 Kashyapa, 36.
 Kathak, 40, 41, 86, 121.
 Kathak *kafo*, 80.
 Kathak, 36, 37, 38, 37.
 Kathak *kafo*, 88.
 Katha, 22.
 Kathak, 41.
 Kathak (Hindu), 76.
 Kathak, 25, 39, 44, 45, 47.
 Kathak, 49.
 Kathak (Hindu), 38, 87.
 Kathak, 2, 11, 79.
 Kathak, 23.
 Kathak, 20, 105, 106, 110.
 Kathak, 110, 40.
 Kathak (Hindu) *kafo*, 14, 16.

LAHORE, 57, 59, 62, 64

Lahore *kafo*, 81.
 Lahore, 20.
 Lahore *kafo*, 22.
 Lahore, 26, 44, 47.
 Lahore *kafo* (Hindu), 38.
 Lahore, 7, 56, 72, 73, 80, 113, 108.
 Lahore, 45.
 Lahore, 73, 74, 101.
 Lahore *kafo*, 82.
 Lahore, 82, 58, 61, 85.
 Lahore *kafo*, 85.

MADHYA DESHA, 31

Madras, 41.
 Madras, 40, 41, 45.
 Madras *kafo*, 66, 102, 104.
 Madras *kafo* (Hindu), 76.
 Madras (Hindu) *kafo*, 36.
 Madras, 17.
 Madras river, 15.
 Madras, 41.
 Madras, 7, 75.
 Madras, 114.
 Madras, 56, 72.

Middle Country, 40, 41
 Middle Kingdom, 27
 Mid-Java, 35
 Millet (Jean-François), 6
 Ming Ti, 26
 Mirzapur, 26
 Mir Sayyid Ali of Tabriz, 49
Mirad, 79
 Minglin School, 9, 47, 49, 49, 52, 57, 61, 63, 66, 63, 86, 88, 106, 118
 Moharamnagar, 13, 88
 Moharamnagar, 43, 80
 Mohamaddin States, 62
 Mughal Kacim, 57
 Mu'lan, 118
 Muschen, 31
 Mexico, 101
 Musical drama, 37
 Mysore, 63, 64, 65
 Myndokaus, 111

NAGA, 21, 32, 40, 41
 Naga-jitan, 21, 31, 40
 Nagpur, 37, 45
 Naga-pur, 27
 Narrative style, 34, 35
 Natyala, 27
 Natural history, 51
 Nawabs of Oudh, 47, 52
 Nayabz (servitors), 110
 Naxos, 18
 Neolithic, 15
 Nepal, 25, 26, 41
 Nephels, 34
 Nera, 29, 117
 Nazari, 49
 Northern India, 54, 55, 63, 61, 80
 North-West Frontier, 34
 North-Western India, 39
 Nerpur, 58

OIL painting, 67, 121
 Old masters, 21
 Oudh, 47, 52, 86
 Ouz, 38, 62

PAELANI, 59, 59, 63, 109, 110
 Pictorial art, 16

Pictorial sites, 111
 Pictura, 111, 116
 Pila, 29
 Pisto Vecchio, 61
 Pithapur, 53
 Pithari, 104
 Pithari (King), 29
 Pithari, 57, 58, 52
 Pithari, 86
 Pithari, 48, 81, 87, 88, 95
 Pithari, 5, 44, 47, 49, 85, 82, 86, 87, 88, 89
 Pithari Book (Gimara), 50
 Perspective, 50
 Pithari, 57
 Pithari, 57
 Pithari, 57, 104
 Pithari, 57
 Pithari, 112, 113, 114
 Pithari, 115
 Pithari, 22
 Pithari painting, 111, 120
 Pithari, 32, 105
 Pithari, 64
 Pithari (King), 35, 67
 Pithari, 54, 56, 62, 86, 85
 Pithari Hill States, 62
 Pithari, 66, 104

RADHA, 110

Raj. Mada, 110
 Raigar State, 15
 Radha, 57
 Rajput, 9, 34, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 66, 60, 82, 85, 89, 94, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 118, 119, 122
 Rajputana, 41, 54, 55, 57
 Rama (painting of), 53, 106
 Ramapur, 20, 66, 104
 Ranigar Hill, 26, 28, 111
 Ranigar State (Mithari), 59
 Raphael of the East, 48
 Renaissance, 79
 Rickets, 27
 Roe (Sir Thomas), 69
 Roman, 78
 Ruddle, 15

- Rumi, 86
Rupabandha, 22
- SADANGA**, 21, 22
Sādāṅgam, 22
- Sakti, 107
- Samarakant, 47, 49
- Sāyānāgryā, 34
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 76
- Sāyān, 22
- Sāyānān Gāyānā*, 107
- Sāyānān, 77
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 54
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 63
- Sāyānān, 76
- Sāyānān, 8, 53, 72
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 53, 62
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 47, 51, 80
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 24
- Sāyānān, 56, 104, 107
- Sāyānān, 107
- Sāyānān, 117
- Sāyānān, 21, 25
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 77
- Sāyānān, 28, 36, 38, 46, 48, 71, 76, 87, 113
- Sāyānān, 59, 60, 62
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 41
- Sāyānān (Sāyā) (King), 41
- Sāyānān, 57, 66
- Sāyānān, 25
- Sāyānān, 16
- Sāyānān, 53, 61
- Sāyānān, 21
- Sāyānān (Sāyā) (Indian Painting), 21, 22
- Sāyānān (Sāyā) (India), 41, 52, 64, 71
- Sāyānān (Sāyā) (South of India), 62, 117
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 64
- Sāyānān, 16
- Sāyānān, 41
- Sāyānān (Sāyā) (Sāyā), 36, 44, 45
- Sāyānān, 98, 97, 103
- Sāyānān, 15
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 16
- Sāyānān (Sāyā), 48
- Sāyānān (Sāyā) (Sāyā), 88
- Sāyānān, 76
- TAJ**, 51, 84
- Tāj, 26, 36, 45, 46
- Tāj (A. N.), 65
- Tāj, 63, 64, 65
- Tāj (Sāyā), 21, 25, 31, 39, 40, 41, 63
- Tāj, 42
- Tāj, 66
- Tāj (Sāyā), 45
- Tāj, 46, 113, 114, 116
- Tāj (Sāyā), 77, 91, 115
- Tāj, 62
- Tāj, 20, 25, 26, 30, 44, 45, 46, 96, 98
- Tāj, 46
- Tāj, 47, 48
- Tāj, 47, 48, 52, 61, 88
- Tāj (Sāyā), 27
- Tāj (Sāyā), 83
- Tāj (Sāyā), 87
- UCCLELLO (UCCLELLO)**, 83
- Ucclello, 41, 55
- Ucclello (Sāyā), 16, 40
- Ucclello (Sāyā), 112
- Ucclello (Sāyā), 52, 103
- Ucclello (Sāyā), 19, 20
- VAKATYAKA (dynasty)**, 34
- Vakatyaka, 34, 105
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 38
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 41
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 22
- Vakatyaka, 10
- Vakatyaka, 21
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 75
- Vakatyaka, 63
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 40
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 20
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 15
- Vakatyaka, 56, 105
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 19, 21
- Vakatyaka (Sāyā), 100
- Vakatyaka, 113
- WATER-COLOURED**, 67, 112, 122
- Water-coloured, 41, 56
- Water, 6
- White elephant, 38

Wood-block, 37

YAKSHIA (*Pranga-Fera*), 21.
40, 41

Yama, 39

ZOOLOGICAL, 83
Zoology, 80

